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Preamble

During the last 10 years, I have devoted my work to the development of neutron scattering techniques for the study of different types of magnetic nanostructures and I have been trying to apply and adapt neutron techniques to study new types of systems. I have tried to organize this manuscript in order to give a broad overview of all the possibilities offered by the neutron scattering techniques. In order to illustrate the different possibilities, I have selected a few studies performed at the Laboratoire Léon Brillouin in which I have been involved.

I also intend this document to be a review of the state of the art in the neutron scattering techniques so that scientists interested in applying these techniques on magnetic nanostructures can quickly evaluate if they are suitable or not for their problems. I have thus tried to limit as much as possible the technical and theoretical aspects of the different techniques and focused on the achievable goals. Readers are invited to refer to separate publications for detailed technical discussions of the techniques.

In a first chapter, I browse through the different types of magnetic systems and nanostructures that are being studied at the moment and show how rapidly the field has evolved.

In a first part, the different neutron scattering techniques are described. I present the reflectivity technique, including off-specular scattering and the Small Angle Scattering technique as well as the derived technique of Grazing Incidence Small Angle Scattering. I also mention the technique of neutron diffraction which can be applied on some systems. The use of these different techniques are illustrated by studies performed on various spectrometers at the Laboratoire Léon Brillouin.

In a second part, I discuss a more specific topic which are magnetic non collinear or helical orders in magnetic nanostructures. I show how neutron scattering can probe such structures in a unique way since the inner configuration of very complex magnetic structures can be measured. In a first chapter, I present examples of such non collinear structures in various thin film systems and in a second chapter experiments on magnetic single crystals.

In a last part, I focus on instrumentation and software developments. Both fields are very important in the implementation of new neutron scattering techniques. In a first chapter several concepts of new instruments are presented. They should allow to bring neutron reflectivity a step forward by providing significant gains in flux. In the last chapter, I describe the software packages which I have developed to accompany the techniques which have been presented previously.

The last part compiles various annexes on more specific technical points which would have been too long to develop in the main text.
NOTATIONS (mettre à jour)

$b, b_j$ bound scattering length of a nucleus, mean scattering length of a layer $j$

$b_c$ bound coherent scattering length

$b_N$ spin dependent scattering length

$b'$ real part of the scattering length

$b''$ imaginary part of the scattering length

$\mathcal{E}_0, \mathcal{E}_j$ energy of the neutron in the vacuum and in layer $j$

$e$ charge of the electron

$d, d_j$ thickness of a layer

$g$ Landé factor, ($g = 2$)

$k$ wave vector

$M, M_j$ magnetic moment of an electron and of a layer

$m$ neutron mass

$m_e$ electron mass

$n_j$ refractive index of layer $j$

$Q$ scattering vector

$V_j$ volume of the layer $j$

$V(r)$ interaction Hamiltonian

$g_n$ $g_n = -1.9132$, nuclear Landé factor of the neutron

$\lambda$ neutron wavelength

$\mu_B$ Bohr magneton

$\mu_n$ nuclear magneton

$\rho_j$ atomic density of the layer $j$ (atoms per cm$^3$)

$\sigma_j$ absorption

$\theta_j, \varphi_j$ spherical angles of the magnetisation of the layer $j$

$\theta_i, \theta_r$ incident and reflected angles of the neutron beam

\[
\mu_B = \frac{e\hbar}{2m_e} = 9.27 \times 10^{-24} J.T^{-1},
\]

\[
\mu_n = \frac{e\hbar}{2m_p} = 5.05 \times 10^{-27} J.T^{-1}
\]

We call “up” (resp. “down”) the neutron polarisation parallel (resp. anti-parallel) to the external applied magnetic field.

“Down-up” designates a polarised “down” incident beam and polarised “up” detected beam.

“Down-up” and “up-down” are called spin-flip processes.
1. Magnetic nanostructures

During the last 20 years, the field of the magnetism of nanostructures has exploded. A huge number of new magnetic structures have appeared in which the nanometer scale plays a key role. It is possible to classify them into 3 categories:

- In 1 dimension, thin films produced by physical means such as vacuum deposition (sputtering, evaporation, laser ablation ...): metal thin films, oxide thin films, magnetic semi-conductors.
- In 2 dimensions, nanometer size objects organized on surfaces. These objects can be either produced by lithography techniques or by self-organization processes.
- In 3 dimensions, nanoparticles in solutions forming ferrofluids or nanomagnetic entities in crystals.

In the following I describe the different fields and topics in which neutron reflectivity and neutron scattering has been applied for the study of magnetic nanostructures.

1.1. Magnetic thin films

During the early 80s, advanced techniques for the deposition of ultra-thin metal films were developed. This led to the fabrication of new artificial materials comprising of the stacking of different materials in thin sandwiches (hetero-structures). The combination of different types of materials gave rise to new physical phenomena. The first new phenomenon to be probed was the magnetic exchange coupling in super-lattices (Fig. 1.1a). It appeared that magnetic layers separated by non magnetic spacer layers can be magnetically coupled. The coupling can be either ferromagnetic, anti-ferromagnetic or more complex (quadratic or even helical). The coupling can also change sign (from ferro to anti-ferro) as a function of the spacer layer thickness. Such phenomena were observed in rare-earth super-lattices (Gd/Y, Dy/Y, Gd/Dy, Ho/Y [1]), transition metals super-lattices (Fe/Cr [2, 3], Co/Cu [4], Fe/V [5], Co/Ru [6]), mixing of semiconductors and metals (Fe/Si [7], Fe/Ge [9]). The field is still open and new systems are still being synthesized, especially with magnetic semi-conducting materials (GaMnAs [10], EuS/PbS [11]).

These magnetic coupling phenomena are strongly connected to the Giant Magneto-Resistance effect [12]: depending on the orientation of the magnetization of the different layers in the hetero-structure, the resistivity of the system varies significantly. This has opened a new field of study which is now referred to as spintronics.

In the early 1990s, the phenomenon of exchange bias was revived. A ferromagnetic layer in contact with an anti-ferromagnetic material can be magnetically strongly coupled (Fig. 1.1b) [13, 14]. The soft magnetic layer is thus strongly pinned along a well defined direction. This is presently used in most of the spintronics systems (Fig. 1.1c). The phenomenon is used in commercial devices but is still not fully understood from a theoretical point of view. The origin of the coupling depends on the type of materials, their crystallinity, the fabrication process... [15].
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In the late 1990s, it appeared that the performances of giant magneto-resistive systems could be enhanced by combining tunnel barriers and magnetic materials (using materials such as $\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3$, $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$, $\text{CoFe}_2\text{O}_4$, $\text{MgO}$, $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$). This field is still very active and a number of phenomena still need to be understood. Electronic devices using magnetic tunnel junctions (such as Magnetic Random Access Memories) are about to be commercialized but significant progress can still be made.

Besides the combination of well known materials, during the late 1990s, a wealth of new materials were synthesized (typically perovskites of the type $\text{ABMnO}_3$). The growth of these materials as epitaxial thin films was quickly mastered following the experience acquired previously on oxide superconductors. These materials have properties ranging from colossal magneto-resistance to magneto-electric effects.

More recently, a new field has developed which is the search for new magnetic semiconductors. After the early studies of Eu based magnetic semi-conductors ($\text{EuO}$ and $\text{EuS}$) in the 1970s, the field was dormant until $\text{GaMnAs}$ magnetic semiconductors were synthesized in the middle of the 1990s. Since then, a number of new systems have been synthesized in order to find room temperature magnetic semi-conductors. The discovery of a suitable material could boost the field of spintronics. These new materials range from diluted semi-conductors to magnetically doped insulating oxide materials.

We can mention other types of studies such as the penetration of the magnetic flux in superconductor thin films [16], the exchange spring effect between soft and hard magnetic layers [17], the magnetism of ultra-thin films [18], proximity effects between magnetism and superconductivity [19], induced magnetism at interfaces (e.g. the magnetism induced in V in contact with Gd [20]), the super-anti-ferromagnetism (edge effects in Fe/Cr super-lattices [21]).

![Figure 1.1: (a) Exchanged coupled super-lattice with an anti-ferromagnetic order. (b) Exchange bias between a ferromagnet and an anti-ferromagnet. (c) GMR system or magnetic tunnel junction.](image)

1.2. Nanometer size objects organized on surfaces

The natural extension of the development of thin film structures was to try to organize nanostructures in the plane of thin films. A number of techniques have been used to create 2D nanostructure.

**Bottom-up approaches.**

(i) The earliest one was to control the adsorption of atoms on clean surfaces, using the substrate intrinsic structure (steps for example). Atoms deposited on such surfaces can in some conditions organize themselves into ordered 2D structures [22](Fig. 1.2a). Such
processes are however often limited to rather small structures and the flexibility of the technique is limited since it usually depends on very specific thermodynamic conditions.

(ii) The second technique derived from the previous one is to synthesize the objects of interest prior to their deposition on surfaces. This has been extensively studied in the case of the adsorption of small spherical nanoparticles on surfaces. In order to obtain a better ordering, the surface may be “prepared” to guide the organisation of the nano-objects.

(iii) In some specific cases, the magnetic nano-organisation may result form intrinsic properties of the material. For example, FePt, FePd or CoPt thin films develop a complex 2D magnetic pattern (Fig. 1.2d).

Top-bottom approaches.

(iv) It is also possible to devise techniques derived from traditionnal lithography processes. Unfortunately, optical lithography is limited to “large scales” (above 100nm, at least until recently). Electronic lithography only allows to produce very small samples with a very limited surface. Thus techniques using self organized templates have been used to create the equivalent of masks which could then be used for the fabrication of regular nanostructures [23, 196]. This is illustrated in the case of alumina masks (see Fig. 1.2c) in which either dots or wires are deposited using electrochemical methods (Fig. 1.2c).

![Figure 1.2:](image)

Figure 1.2.: (a) 300x300 nm image of self-organized Co dots on a gold substrate [22]; (b) alumina membrane with pores of diameter 60 nm in which metals can be electrochemical grown. (c) Fe nanodots with average diameter and periodicity of 32 and 63 nm grown using a Al₂O₃ mask [196]. (d) magnetic domains in a FdPt thin film.

1.3. Magnetic nanostructures in 3D

This category is very broad but can nevertheless be divided in two main classes of magnetic materials:
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(i) Systems in which the magnetic nanostructures appear as an intrinsic property of a bulk material and one can mention magnetic phase separations in crystals (often oxyde materials), the formation of a self organized magnetic domain structure, the critical fluctuations at the Curie temperature which can take place over nanometer scales, metallic alloys such as steels containing magnetic inclusions.

(ii) Systems which are created from scratch usually using a bottom-up approach. For a long time, the basic building bricks have been sphereical nanoparticles (either oxide or metallic). These nano-bricks may in some cases form macroscopic pseudo-crystal structures [25, 27] (Fig. 1.3). In these systems, not only the individual magnetic structure is interesting (core-shell [110]) but also the properties of the assembly of particles (transport properties, magnetic coupling, chains formations, materials reinforcement, solidification of ferrofluids). More recently, more complex nano-objects have started to be synthesized (see Fig. 1.4) such as nanowires or nanotubes.

Figure 1.3.: (left) crystal of nanoparticles [25]. (right) hexagonal order in a ferrofluid [27].

Figure 1.4.: Co and Co$_{80}$Ni$_{20}$ nanowires (courtesy of G. Viau).
Part I.

Neutron scattering techniques on magnetic nanostructures
Framework

In this first part, I will describe the state of the art of the different neutron scattering techniques applied to the study of magnetic nanostructures. For each of the different techniques, I shall give a short description of the technique and illustrate its use with a few examples of studies performed in the past years at the Laboratoire Léon Brillouin.

Magnetic surfaces and interfaces at the nanometric scale correspond to very small volumes of matter, of the order of a few micrograms. The use of neutron reflection at grazing incidence increases the neutron interaction with the sample surface and makes such experiments feasible.

At grazing incidence, it is possible to distinguish three scattering geometries (Fig. 1.5): specular reflection, scattering in the incidence plane (off-specular scattering) and scattering perpendicular to the incidence plane (Grazing Incidence SANS). These different scattering geometries probe different length scales $\xi$ and directions in the sample surface. Specular reflectivity probes the structure along the depth in the film ($3nm < \xi < 100nm$). Off-specular scattering probes surface features at a micrometric scale ($600nm < \xi < 60\mu m$). Finally, we discuss the extension of the small angle neutron scattering technique to the study of surfaces, that is Grazing Incidence SANS which probes surface features in the range $3nm < \xi < 100nm$. These different scattering geometries allow the study of a very wide range of length-scales $\xi$, from a few $nm$ up to several $\mu m$.

Figure 1.5.: The different surface scattering geometries. (Black line) specular reflectivity geometry; (dotted plane) off-specular scattering plane, corresponding to the incidence plane; (hashed plane) GISANS scattering plane, perpendicular to the incidence plane. These different scattering geometries probe a very wide range of length-scales and directions in the sample surface.

We shall give examples of specular polarized reflectivity on various types of magnetic systems in order to highlight the information that can be obtained by polarized neutron...
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reflectometry. All the experiments shown here have been performed on the reflectometer PRISM.

If the nanostructures are present in a bulk material such as a crystal or a colloidal suspension, Small Angle Scattering can be used. I will mention the possibility to perform magnetic diffraction experiments on thin films and eventually I will mention the possibilities of inelastic scattering to characterize magnetic excitations in nanostructures.
2. Specular reflectivity

2.1. Principles

Neutrons can be reflected on surfaces in the same way as x-rays or electrons [28]. All the formalisms developed for x-ray reflectivity can be transposed for neutron reflectivity [29]. In a reflectivity geometry (2.1a), the incidence angle $\theta_i$ on the surface is small (typically ranging from 0.5 to 5°). The reflection angle or is the same as the incidence angle $\theta_i$. As a consequence, the scattering wave-vector $Q$ is perpendicular to the surface. The typical neutron wavelengths are in the range $2 - 20\text{Å}$. Thus the range of accessible scattering wave-vector $Q = k_0 - k_i$ is $0.05 - 3\text{nm}^{-1}$. This corresponds in the real space to typical length-scales between 2 and 100nm. Neutron reflectivity is a technique adapted for the study of thin films but does not probe structures at the atomic level. In a reflectivity geometry it is thus possible to do the “optical approximation” [29] and to model the neutron interaction with the material as a continuous potential. The details of the atomic structure are smoothed out (Fig. 2.1b). The interaction potential $V$ with a material is given by:

$$V = \frac{\hbar^2}{2\pi m} \rho \quad \text{with} \quad \rho = \sum N_i b_i$$

where $\hbar$ is the Planck constant and $m$ is the neutron mass; is called the “scattering length density” and is the sum of the atomic density of the nuclei in the material $N_i$ multiplied by their individual nuclear scattering lengths $b_i$.

In the case of a magnetic system, the interaction between the neutron spin and the material magnetization is of the form $V = -\vec{\mu}.\vec{B}$ where $\vec{\mu}$ is the magnetic moment of the neutron and $\vec{B}$ is the magnetic induction inside the thin film.

![Figure 2.1.](image)

Figure 2.1.: (a) Specular reflectivity geometry. The reflection angle is equal to the incidence angle; the scattering wave-vector $Q$ is perpendicular to the sample surface. (b) Interface between 2 surfaces. In the optical approximation, the interface is approximated as a continuous medium. (c) Reflection on a thin film deposited on a surface. The reflectivity measures the Fourier transform of the interaction potential $V(z)$.
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In the reflectivity geometry, the equivalent of a neutron “optical index” can be derived from the Schrödinger equation \[29\]. Neglecting absorption, the value of this optical index is given by the following expression:

\[
n^\pm = 1 - \delta \mp \delta M = 1 - \frac{\lambda^2}{2\pi} \rho \mp \frac{m\lambda^2}{k^2} \mu B
\]

where \(\delta\) is the nuclear contribution to the optical index, and \(\delta M\) is the magnetic contribution to the optical index, the sign of the magnetic contribution depends on the relative orientation of the neutron spin with respect to the magnetization (parallel or anti-parallel). Table 2.1 gives values of optical indexes for some typical materials. One should notice that the magnetic optical index is of the same order of magnitude as the nuclear optical index. The use of polarized neutrons permits to measure both optical indexes \(n^+\) and \(n^-\) and thus to obtain detailed information about the magnetic structure of the sample.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>element</th>
<th>(\delta\times10^{-6})</th>
<th>(\delta M\times10^{-6})</th>
<th>(\sigma_a\text{ (barns)})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fe</td>
<td>20.45</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>2.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>37.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>4.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gd</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>49700</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.1.: Nuclear and magnetic optical index \(n = 1 - \delta \pm \delta M\) for some materials at \(\lambda = 0.4\text{nm}\).

In a specular reflectivity measurement, the most important assumption is that the system is invariant in translation in the thin film plane, that is, there are no inhomogeneities along the film surface. Thus the interaction potential \(V\) is assumed to be only a function of the depth \(z\) in the multilayer system (Fig. 2.1c). In a first approximation, the specular reflectivity measures the Fourier transform of the optical index profile \(n(z)\).

Figure 2.2.: (a) Reflectivity on a multilayer system Si//Cu(50nm)/Cr(9nm). The short period oscillations are characteristic of the total thickness of the layer (59nm). The long range modulation is characteristic of the thin Cr layer (9nm). (Insert) optical index profile as a function of the depth in the film. (b) Reflectivity of a magnetic film Si//Ni(40nm). The reflectivity depends on the relative orientation of the neutron spin with respect to the magnetization. (Insert) optical index profile for both neutron polarizations (parallel and anti-parallel).

However, at low incidence angles, there is total reflection up to a critical wave-vector...
2.2. Examples

and thus the Born approximation is not valid at small scattering wave-vectors. The Born approximation can be applied only above a scattering wave-vector of about $3Q_c$. Below this limit, one must solve the Schrödinger equation and perform a full dynamical calculation. The detailed theoretical treatment of the polarized reflectivity can be found in [29, 30, 31, 32, 33].

Figure 2.2a presents the situation of the reflection of a neutron beam on a multilayer Si//Cu/Cr: above the critical wave-vector of total reflection, the reflected intensity decreases as $1/Q^4$. Modulations of the reflected intensities are observed. They correspond to constructive and destructive interferences of the neutron waves scattered by the different interfaces of the multilayer system. These oscillations are called Kiessig fringes. Their pattern is characteristic of the multilayer system. Figure 2.2b presents the situation of a magnetic thin film on a substrate. In this case, the optical index depends on the relative orientation of the neutron spin with respect to the thin film magnetization. The measured reflectivity is very different for neutron incident with a spin parallel to the magnetization (optical index $n^+ = 1 - \delta - \delta^+$) and for neutrons incident with a spin anti-parallel to the magnetization (optical index $n^+ = 1 - \delta + \delta^-$).

The measure of the reflectivity probes the profile of optical index $n(z)$ along the normal $(Oz)$ to the thin film system. Numerical models are then used to reconstruct the thickness of the different layers of the system as well as their individual scattering length densities which is characteristic of their chemical composition. Inter-diffusion and roughness at interfaces can be quantified with more detailed models. In the case of magnetic systems, information on the amplitude and the direction of the magnetization of the different layers can be obtained using polarized neutron reflectivity. One should note that polarized reflectivity is sensitive to the induction in the thin films: no difference is made between the spin and orbital magnetic moments. In practice, it is possible to measure 4 cross-sections in a polarized reflectivity experiment: 2 non spin-flip cross sections, $R^{++}$ (resp. $R^{--}$), corresponding to the number of incoming “up” (resp. “down”) neutrons reflected with an “up” (resp. “down”) polarization; 2 spin-flip cross sections, $R^{+-} = R^{-+}$, corresponding to the number of neutrons experiencing a spin-flip during the reflection on the sample. In a first approximation, the non-spin-flip cross sections probe the components of the magnetization which are parallel to the applied field; the spin-flip cross sections are sensitive to the component of the magnetization perpendicular to the applied field. Combining this information it is possible to reconstruct the magnetization direction and amplitude along the depth of the film. The depth resolution is of the order of 2-3 nm in simple systems. Polarized reflectivity is a surface technique and thus is not sensitive to paramagnetic or diamagnetic contribution from the substrate. There is no absorption. There are no phenomenological parameters. The data are “naturally” normalized. All these characteristics make neutron reflectivity data easy to model and interpret.

In the following, we will illustrate some of the possibilities offered by polarized reflectivity on super-lattices and single thin films. For other examples, the interested reader should refer to the following recent reviews [39, 35, 36, 37, 38].
2. Specular reflectivity

2.2.1.1. Periodic Multilayers

A super-lattice consists of a periodic repetition (n times) of a bilayer system \([A/B]_n\). If the material A is magnetic, then depending on the thickness of the intermediate layer B (from 0.5 to 3 nm) and the type of the B material (Cr, Mn, Cu..) a magnetic coupling can be mediated through this non magnetic B layer. The coupling energy can be described by using an energy of the form:

\[ E_{\text{coupling}} = -J_1 \mathbf{S}_1 \cdot \mathbf{S}_2 - J_2 (\mathbf{S}_1 \cdot \mathbf{S}_2)^2 \]

Depending on the sign and magnitude of the coupling constants \(J_1\) and \(J_2\), a variety of magnetic orderings can be observed. Usually the coupling constant oscillates between positive and negative values as a function of the thickness of the B spacer, thus the magnetic order between the A layers changes from ferromagnetic to anti-ferromagnetic. In some structures it is even possible to observe non collinear coupling between the different magnetic layers.

In the case of periodic multilayers, we can observe Bragg peaks corresponding to the period of the multilayer. In the case of antiferromagnetic coupling or variable angle coupling, it is possible to obtain directly a mean angle between the different magnetic layers. With polarized neutrons, it is possible to measure very rapidly a precise value of the average magnetic moments. If high order Bragg peaks are observed, a good estimate of the chemical and magnetic interface can be obtained. In the literature, there is a large amount of results on magnetic multilayers [39, 6, 40]. The most thoroughly studied system is the metallic system Fe/Cr. The pioneering polarized neutron reflectometry studies have been performed on this system [41]. Though the origin of the magnetic coupling is well understood in metallic hetero-structures [42], the exact origin of the ordering in structures combining semi-conductors or even insulators is still unclear.

2.2.1.2. Metal super-lattice

Figure 2.3 shows an example of PNR on a system \([Fe(2.5\, \text{nm})/Si(1.2\, \text{nm})]_n\) [7]. The reflectivity was measured at 20K in a planar field of 20 mT. At the position \(q = 0.17\, \text{Å}^{-1}\), the peak is indicative of the period of the super-lattice defined by the thickness 3.7 nm of the \([Fe(2.5\, \text{nm})/Si(1.2\, \text{nm})]\) bilayer. It corresponds to the [001] peak of the super-lattice. A magnetic contrast between the UP and DO reflectivities exists corresponding to a net magnetization component along the applied field. A the position \(q = 0.085\, \text{Å}^{-1}\), that is \((0\, 0\, \frac{1}{2})\), a strong diffraction peak is observed. It indicates an anti-ferromagnetic component. But the existence of a very strong spin-flip peak at \((0\, 0\, \frac{1}{2})\) indicates that a non collinear magnetic order has set-up in the structure. Numerical modeling suggests that the Fe layers are arranged so that the magnetization’s of alternating Fe layers make an angle of 30° with respect to the applied magnetic field. The magnetic moment of the iron layer is however reduced to 1.4 \(\mu_B\) per Fe atom because of the Si inter diffusion and of the fact that the Fe layers are very thin. The question of the origin of the coupling remains unclear.

The studies of the magnetic coupling in magnetic superlattices are still numerous: in “all metal” superlattices we can mention Pd/Fe [43], Heussler alloys [44, 45], U/Fe [46]; in semi-conductors Fe/Ge [47]; in rare-earths DyFe\(_2\)/YFe\(_2\) [48], Ho/Y [49]; in metal oxide...
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**Figure 2.3.** (a) Reflectivity of a \([Fe(2.4nm)/Si(1.2nm)]n\) multilayer measured at 5 K. (b) Configuration of the magnetic moments in 2 adjacent Fe layers.

layers \(Co/Al2O3\) [50].

In such multilayer systems, neutron reflectivity is sensitive to very small magnetic moments. In \([GaAs/GaMnAs]n\) superlattices, magnetizations as small as 27\(kA/m\) (0.03T) can be determined [8].

### 2.2.1.3. Magnetic oxide super-lattice

This example illustrates the use of the magnetic contrast to measure the chemical segregation in manganite hetero-structures: \([(LaMnO3)\_a/(SrMnO3)\_b]n\) (with \(8 < a < 12\); \(4 < b < 8\)). These super-lattices are deposited layer by layer in order to enforce a cationic order between La and Sr and a cationic segregation between \(Mn^{3+}\) and \(Mn^{4+}\). The first material is anti-ferromagnetic in its bulk form, the second is ferromagnetic. The objective of the measurement was to check if the cationic segregation (La/Sr) effectively induced a (AF / F) stacking. The reflectivity on one of these systems is presented on Figure 2.4a. Around the angle \(\theta = 1.3^\circ\), a super-structure peak corresponding to the system’s periodicity can be observed. The contrast between the 2 reflectivity curves « up » and « down » is characteristic of the in-depth magnetization profile. In order to model the reflectivity curves, it is only necessary to introduce a small modulation of the magnetization in the system (Figure 2.4b): the cationic segregation does not lead to a clear magnetic segregation. The magnetization modulation is only 25% between the two types of layers.

### 2.2.1.4. Supermirrors

For technical purposes it is interesting to build systems exhibiting an artificially large optical index [51]. One can can build such a structure by stacking periodic multilayers with an almost continuous variation of the period. In such a system, if the periodicity range is well chosen, a large number of Bragg peaks follow the total reflectivity plateau. Since the periodicity of the multilayer is varying continuously, all these Bragg peaks add constructively. Using this technique it is possible to enhance the length of the total reflection plateau by a factor 3 to 4 (up to 6 in technological demonstrators). Such mirrors are now widely used for neutron guides and for polarization devices. Figure 2.5 gives an example of a polar arising mirror.
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**Figure 2.4.** (a) Reflectivity on a super-lattice \([\text{LaMnO}_3]_a/(\text{SrMnO}_3)_b\). (b) Magnetic profile in the super-lattice.

![Graph](image)

**Figure 2.5.** Polarizing super-mirror.

2.2.2. Magnetic single layers

Even though most of the studies are performed on super-lattices (usually for scattering intensity reasons), the magnetization of very thin systems can also be probed. The advantage of studying simple systems is that much more detailed information can be obtained since the signal is not blurred by roughness or thickness fluctuations.

2.2.2.1. Metal trilayer

We present here the example of the study of a coupled FeCo/Mn/FeCo trilayer system [52]. The structure of the sample is shown on Fig. 2.6a. The “active” region is formed by the layers FeCo/Mn/FeCo. The Ag layer is used to promote an epitaxial growth of the system. The Au layer is a simple protective capping. The presented system is \(\text{Fe}_{0.5}\text{Co}_{0.5}/\text{Mn}(8\text{Å})/\text{Fe}_{0.5}\text{Co}_{0.5}\). The specificity of this system is that the magnetic couplings between Fe and Mn, and Co and Mn are of opposite sign. Ab initio calculation
predicted that in such a system, contrary to a pure Fe/Mn interface, a complex magnetic behavior of the Mn layer arises. A first measurement was performed in a saturating field (not shown). A numerical modeling of the data shows that the magnetic moment in the Fe$_{0.5}$Co$_{0.5}$ layers is 2.4t$_B$/atom (as in bulk materials). A net magnetic moment of 0.8t$_B$/atom in Mn is also observed. This induced magnetization in the Mn layer was theoretically predicted for FeCo alloys by the ab-initio calculations. In similar systems without Co, no magnetic moment is observed in the Mn layer.

The applied field was then decreased down to 1.2 mT. The reflectivity was remeasured. In these conditions a large spin-flip signal is observed (Fig. 2.6b). The reflectivity data was fitted by letting the magnetization directions vary. The best adjustment was obtained when the magnetization of the layers make an angle of 45° with respect to the applied field. The two magnetic layers make an angle of 90°, we have a quadratic coupling.

Figure 2.6: (a) Trilayer system. (b) Reflectivity in the remanent state. (c) Magnetic configuration as deduced from the fit.

2.2.2.2. Exchange bias - spin-valves

The magnetic thin film system which has enjoyed the most popularity until now is the spin-valve. It consists of a stack of two magnetic layers separated by a non magnetic spacer. The electrical resistance of the system depends on the relative orientation of the magnetizations. In industrial systems, one of the magnetic layers is pinned by a coupling with an anti-ferromagnetic material through the so-called exchange-bias mechanism. The materials which are used in such structures are numerous: Co, Fe, Ni, NiFe, Fe$_3$O$_4$, CoFe$_2$O$_4$, LaSrMnO$_3$, Cu, Cr, V, Al$_2$O$_3$, HfO$_2$, SrTiO$_3$ for the spacer layers; FeMn, IrMn, CoO, NiO, BiFeO$_3$, Co/Ru/Co for the anti-ferromagnetic exchange bias layer.

Such spin valve systems have been extensively characterized [53, 54, 55, 56] and are now well understood. However, the microscopic understanding of exchange bias has been a long standing problem for decades now. A wealth of literature is being produced on numerous and very varied systems [57, 58, 59, 60, 61]. It appears that the exchange bias mechanism combines very subtle effects. The reversal process of the coupled magnetic layer has been studied in detail. Since the origin of the phenomenon is often linked to micromagnetic problems, reflectivity studies are often complemented with off-specular
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scattering which probe the underlying micromagnetic structures. This technique is described in the following.

2.2.2.3. Magnetic oxides

Polarized neutron reflectivity has also been used to probe the magnetism of individual thin films such oxide layers (manganites [83, 84] or $Fe_3O_4$ [85]). For example, the hysteresis cycle of $La_{0.7}Sr_{0.3}MnO_3$ thin films shows a region with a low coercivity on which is superimposed a contribution which requires 0.3 T to be saturated. This suggests that the films are not homogeneous and that they are composed of several phases having different coercivities. Neutron reflectivity measurements were performed on single $La_{0.7}Sr_{0.3}MnO_3$ thin films in order to probe the magnetization profiles through the depth of the films as a function of the temperature. Figure 8 shows the reflectivity on a 16nm $La_{0.7}Sr_{0.3}MnO_3$. Modeling using a homogeneous magnetic layer does not provide satisfactory fits. In order to quantitatively model the data, it has been necessary to introduce a model taking into account different magnetizations at the interfaces. We considered a 3 layers model with magnetizations $M_1$, $M_2$ and $M_3$ in the depth of the films. Figure 10 shows the variations of the magnetizations $M_1$, $M_2$ and $M_3$ as a function of the temperature. One can note that the interface magnetization is reduced by 25 to 30%.

Figure 2.7: (a) reflectivity of a $La_{0.7}Sr_{0.3}MnO_3$ (16nm) film deposited on SrTiO$_3$. (a) Modeling of the system: (top) perfect system, (bottom) more realistic model. (b) Magnetization profiles as a function of the temperature for the system $La_{0.7}Sr_{0.3}MnO_3$ (16nm)//SrTiO$_3$. 
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3.1. Principle

In the case of the specular reflectivity, the scattering vector $Q$ is perpendicular to the sample surface and thus one probes the structure of the sample along its depth only. All the structures in the thin film plane are averaged out. This hypothesis is correct as long as there is no formation of magnetic domains in the structure and that it can be assumed that the magnetization is homogeneous in each layer of the system. If this is not the case, by slightly modifying the scattering geometry, that is by introducing a small in-plane component of the scattering wave vector (Fig. 3.1) it is possible to probe in-plane structures. The specificity of the reflectivity geometry is that the in-plane component $q_x$ of the scattering vector is very small, of the order of $0.1 - 10 \mu m^{-1}$. In this scattering geometry, one will be mostly sensitive to in-plane lateral structure with a characteristic size ranging from $50 \mu m$ down to $0.5 \mu m$. The upper limit is set by the resolution of the spectrometer and the size of the direct beam. The lower limit is set by the available neutron flux. These sizes correspond to typical sizes of micro-magnetic domain structures. Thus magnetic off-specular is mostly used to probe such problems. These measurements are usually performed by using a position sensitive detector after the sample and measuring the scattering on the detector as a function of the incidence angle.

Figure 3.1.: Off-specular scattering geometry. The scattering vector $Q$ is not perpendicular to the thin film plane anymore. There is a small component in the thin film plane.

The pioneering work in the field of off-specular scattering was presented in the early 90s [86]. For flux reasons, until now, most of these studies have been performed on multilayer systems. Figure 3.2 presents an example of the off-specular scattering from a $[Co/Cu]_{50}$ multilayer.

The diffuse signal has been measured as a function of $Q_x$ and $Q_z$. On Fig. 3.2a and 3.2b, one observes the structural correlation peak [001] corresponding to the chemical
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periodicity. At remanence, a strong diffuse scattering peak is observed at the position [0 0 ½]. Since the magnetic diffuse scattering is localized around the position [0 0 ½], it is possible to say that the Co layers are globally anti-ferromagnetically coupled along the thickness of the layer. However, since there is a strong diffuse scattering, it is also possible to say that there exists a significant magnetic disorder in the plane of the Co layers. The width of the diffuse scattering peak around the position [0 0 ½] (Fig. 3.2c) is inversely proportional to the magnetic domain size and gives an estimate of the mean magnetic domain size which ranges from 1 µm at remanence (30 G) and grows to 6 µm at 250 G.

Magnetic off-specular scattering has been mostly used to probe the magnetic domains sizes in multilayers. Detailed quantitative analysis of the magnetic off-specular scattering can be performed [21]. The effect of the micro-magnetic structure can then be correlated with other properties such as the magneto-crystalline anisotropy (in Fe/Cr superlattice [87]) or the magneto-resistive effect (in Fe/Cr [88] or Co/Cu [89] superlattices). The formation of micromagnetic structures is very important with respect to the transport properties in magnetic sensors. The signal-to-noise ratio of Giant Magneto Resistive systems is very sensitive to the micromagnetic structure [53]. Off-specular studies are also used to complement studies on exchange bias systems: Co/CoO [90], Ir$_{20}$Mn$_{80}$/Co$_{80}$Fe$_{20}$ [91]. Off-specular scattering has also been used to study the problem of the reversal process in neutron polarizing super-mirrors [92] (see below). In some special cases, it has been shown that it is also possible to probe single interfaces (Fe/Cr/Fe trilayer [93] or waveguide structures [94], see below).

The trend in nanosciences is shifting from continuous thin films to in-plane nanostructures. These nanostructures can be obtained by patterning or by self-organization [95, 96, 97, 100]. In a number of studies, the influence of patterning on the exchange bias has been probed [99, 98, 101]. These studies are of interest when the magnetic heterostructures are to be integrated in large scale micro-circuits (typically for Magnetic RAMs.)

3.2. Examples

The study of the off-specular scattering was partly part of my PhD thesis which I developed for model systems such as gratings.

I am presenting here two examples of studies of off-specular scattering on continuous layers. The first example is a classical study on a super-lattice system. The large number of layers is sufficient to give rise to a measurable signal. The second example is somewhat specific since it is one of the rare case in which the off-specular scattering from a single interface can be measured.

3.2.1. Polarizing neutron supermirrors

These studies were partly performed on the reflectometer PRISM at the LLB but were further complemented by U. Rücker by more extensive measurements on the spectrometer HADAS at the Forschung Zentrum Jülich.

Neutron polarizing supermirrors consist of a stack of ferromagnetic and non-magnetic layers with a gradient in the layers thickness (see 2.2.1.4). The mirrors which have been
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studied here were commercial mirrors produced by Swiss Neutronics. They are produced in such a way that residual stress permit to maintain a high negative magnetization even in positive fields. This makes them suitable for switchable polarizers: the mirrors are placed in a small magnetic guide field. Their magnetization can be reversed by simply applying a magnetic field pulse. They can thus reflect either “Up” or “Down” neutrons. Spin flippers are not needed anymore. However, in order to have a good polarization efficiency, it is necessary that the remanent magnetization is very high. Figure 3.3 shows the evolution of the reflectivity of the mirrors as a function of the applied field. For very low fields \( H = 1 \text{mT} \), the mirror reflect only “Down” neutrons (red dots). For incidence angles between 10 and 20 mrad, the flipping ratio between “Down” and “Up” neutrons is very high, of the order of 100. When the field is increased, \( H = 3.8 \text{mT} \), the thinner layers start to reverse and some “Up” neutrons start to be reflected (around \( \theta_i = 20 \text{mrad} \)).

When the field \( H = 5.6 \text{mT} \), most of the layers have flipped except the thicker ones, corresponding to the region \( \theta_i = 10 \text{mrad} \). For a field of 25 mT, all the layers have flipped.

In order to have a more detailed insight in the reversal process in this system, off-specular scattering has been measured as a function of the applied magnetic field. The data are presented on Figure 3.4. The data are represented in the \((\theta_i, \theta_f)\) space. The diagonal represents the specular reflectivity. The off-diagonal signal is the off-specular signal.

At remanence, some off-specular signal is observed in the \( I^{-} \) channel. At 3.8 mT, a Bragg sheet appears at \( \theta_f + \theta_f = 20 \text{ mrad} \) in the \( I^{++} \) channel around the diffraction peak from the thinnest layers which have flipped. The appearance of such a Bragg sheet corresponds to a vertically correlated roughness: The magnetic domains which have been created are vertically correlated. When the field is increased further to 5.6 mT, the Bragg sheet from the thinnest layers disappear meaning that the magnetic domains are being saturated. In parallel, diffuse scattering appears corresponding to thicker layers which have flipped. One does not observe anymore a nice Bragg sheet because of refraction effects. In higher fields (25 mT), most of the magnetic domains have been saturated and the diffuse scattering has almost disappeared. The diffuse scattering in the spin-flip channels originates from fluctuations of the magnetization direction with respect to the applied field. It is not arranged along Bragg sheets meaning that these fluctuations are not vertically correlated. A quantitative analysis gives an average in-plane correlation length of 200 nm.

A quantitative detailed discussion of this study can be found in [102].

3.2.2. Neutron waveguides

In order to produce submicron neutron beams [103], together with S. Kozhevnikov from JINR Dubna, we are developing neutron magnetic wave-guides. The large magnetic neutron cross section allows to fabricate guides in which the optical index can be dynamically modulated [104]. We produced neutron wave-guides with the following tri-layer structure: Py(10-20 nm)/Ti(10-80 nm)/Py(10-50 nm)//glass. The top permalloy layer acts as the coupling layer with the incident beam, the Ti layer acts as the guiding layer and the bottom layer acts as the reflecting layer (see Fig. 3.5).

In the wave-guide structure, the neutron wave function density for the “+” spin state is shown in Fig. 3.6 as a function of the sample depth \( z(\text{Å}) \) and the incident angle \( \theta_i \).
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(mrad). In the Ti guiding layer there are 3 resonance states (order $m = 1, 2, 3$) in the total reflection region. The zero order resonance $m = 0$ is absent for this system. The neutron wave function density is enhanced at the interfaces in the guiding Ti layer by a factor 10 to 30.

The magnetic reflection of the waveguide has been measured in a saturating field of 100G and at remanence in 1G (see Fig. 3.7a and b). At the resonance conditions, one observes marked dips in the total reflection (the resonances modes $m = 1, 2, 3$ are indicated by arrows). In the saturating field (Fig. 3.7a) the magnetic Py layers are collinear and no spin-flip signal is observed. The magnetization $M$ is $0.8\mu_B/\text{at.}$ for the upper Py layer and $0.9\mu_B/\text{at.}$ for the bottom one. A regular reflectivity calculation cannot account for the large resonance dips (10-15%). Thus we introduced an artificially high absorption in the Ti guiding layer (60 times the tabulated value 6.09 barn). We think that this effect is connected to the localization of the wave function. The neutron is channeled and travels a path 30 times longer than usual in the Ti guiding layer [106]. The diffuse off-specular scattering at one resonance position only represents $10^{-2}$ of the specular signal and cannot account for the dips.

In the remanent state, the magnetization is not collinear with the polarization. The spin-flip signal is strongly enhanced at the resonance position (factor 10). The magnetizations are equal ($M = ^\sim 0.6\mu_B/\text{at.}$) for both Py layers. The non-saturated magnetization can be explained by the appearance of magnetic domains. The tilt of the magnetization can be accounted for by a small magnetic anisotropy in our films.

Off-specular scattering in the saturated state is presented in Fig. 3.8 in the axis co-ordinates $(\theta_i, \theta_f)$. The diagonal $\theta_i = \theta_f$ corresponds to the specular reflectivity. Along the lines $\theta_i = C\text{st}$ and $\theta_f = C\text{st}$ one can observe the large off-specular scattering corresponding to the resonance modes ($m = 1, 2, 3$). The amplitude of off-specular reflection intensity normalized on specular reflection decreases from $10^{-2}$ near specular reflection to $10^{-3}$ for the larger angles. The off-specular reflection intensity integrated in the intervals $\theta_i = \theta_f = 1\text{mrad}$ and along the off-specular angles $\theta_i$ and $\theta_f$ for one resonance mode consists of about $10^{-2}$ from the specular reflection intensity in the corresponding interval $(\theta_i, \theta_f)$. The signal has been modeled using the program sdms [108, 107] based on the DWBA approximation [208]. It is possible to qualitatively account for the data by describing the system with magnetically collinear homogeneous layers (see Fig. 3.8). The shape and position of the diffuse scattering due to the guide effects (along the white lines) are easy to reproduce: it is simply necessary to introduce an in-plane roughness correlation length of the order of 100$\mu$m. It is thus possible to reproduce the spots of enhanced intensity corresponding to the intersections of 2 resonant modes. However, the roughness parameter cannot be evaluated. The modeling does not account for the absolute value of the diffuse scattering and thus the absolute intensities cannot be adjusted to obtain a value for XX.

With these measurements, we have shown: (i) neutron resonance states in magnetic neutron waveguides lead to enhanced off-specular scattering up to $10^{-2}$; (ii) the amplitude of resonances on the reflectivity (10 %) mainly depends on wave guiding effect in Ti guiding layer and only a negligible part is connected to off-specular reflection; (iii) large spin-flip off-specular scattering can be observed without any micro-magnetic structure. This one of the rare systems in which measurable off-specular scattering from a single interface can be observed. More details can be found in [94].
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We have used this system as a reference system to compare the different acquisition modes of off-specular signals on fixed wavelength and on Time of flight reflectometers. A detailed discussion and comparison of the different acquisition modes in off-specular reflectometry is provided in Annexe XX.
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Figure 3.2: [Co(2nm)/Cu(2nm)]_{50} multilayers (adapted from Langridge et al. [4]). (a) Diffuse scattering at $H = 0$. One observes a strong diffuse signal at the AF position. (b) Diffuse scattering in a saturating field. The AF peak has disappeared. (c) Evolution of the AF peak as a function of the applied field (cut along $Q_z = 0.75 \text{nm}^{-1}$). (d) Magnetic coupling between the layers. $\xi$ is the lateral correlation length between magnetic domains. The Co layers are locally coupled AF but there is a strong disorder within each Co layer.
Figure 3.3.: Specular reflectivity on polarizing supermirrors as a function of the applied field after having saturated them in a -0.5T field.
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Figure 3.4.: Spin resolved reflectivity and off-specular scattering measured after saturation of the sample in a negative field at four applied fields: $H = 1\, mT$ (a), $3.8\, mT$ (b), $5.6\, mT$ (c) and $25\, mT$ (d).

Figure 3.5.: Reflection from a magnetic neutron waveguide.
Figure 3.6.: Wave function density inside the waveguide structure vs the incidence angle $\theta_i$ and the sample depth $z$ (calculated using SimulReflec). The Ti guiding layer is 80 nm thick.
Figure 3.7: Specular reflectivity for the waveguide Py(20nm)/Ti(80)/Py(50)/glass (points are experiment, lines are fit, insets are linear scale for total reflection): a) collinear; b) non-collinear.
Figure 3.8: Experimental off-specular scattering (UP-UP) in the saturated state. (left) experiment – (right) simulation. (data measured on the spectrometer HADAS [105] at the FZ Jülich).
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4. Small angle scattering

One of the most mainstream technique used in neutron scattering is Small Angle Scattering. It is mostly used for polymer science and soft matter studies because of the possibilities of contrast variation. I can also be used to perform studies on magnetic materials and take benefit of the strong magnetic scattering. This allows to probe nanometric properties of magnetic crystals.

4.1. Principles

The neutron-matter interaction potential is given by two main contributions, the neutron-nucleus and the neutron-magnetic induction interactions:

\[ V = \frac{2\pi \hbar^2}{m} b_n \delta(r) \quad \text{and} \quad V_M = -\mu \cdot B(r) \]

Since we are considering scattering at small scattering wave-vectors, we are not sensitive to the atomic details of matter and the optical approximation can be applied. It is thus possible to define a scattering length density as:

\[ b_{\text{vol}} = \frac{1}{V} \sum b_i \]

where the volume over which the average is taken is of the order of a few \( nm^{-3} \).

Figure 4.1.: Object of scattering length \( b_1 \) in a matrix or solvent of scattering length \( b_0 \).

If one considers nano-objects in a matrix (Fig. 4.1), SANS measures the form factor of the object which is the Fourier transform of the scattering length density contrast between the scattering object and its matrix \( \Delta b = b_1 - b_0 \). The measured intensity is the form factor squared:

\[ I(Q) = |FT(\Delta b)|^2 = |F(Q)|^2 \]

For the usual wavelengths and collimations used on small angle scattering spectrometers, the accessible \( Q \) range is \( 0.02 - 2nm^{-1} \) which corresponds to correlation lengths in
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real space ranging from 3 to 300 nm.

In the case of magnetic systems, the interaction is limited to the component of the magnetization perpendicular to the scattering wave vector \( Q \). The interaction potential has thus the form \( F_M(Q) \sin \alpha \) where \( F_M = FT(\Delta b_m) \) is the magnetic form factor and \( \alpha \) is the angle between the magnetization and the scattering wave-vector.

With polarized neutrons one can measure two scattered intensities \( I^+ \) and \( I^- \) depending on the orientation of the neutrons polarization with respect to the applied field:

\[
I^+ = |F_N + F_M \sin \alpha|^2 \quad I^- = |F_N - F_M \sin \alpha|^2
\]

These intensities can be combined to provide the following relations:

\[
\frac{I^+ + I^-}{2} = F_N^2 + F_M^2 \sin^2 \alpha \quad I^+ - I^- = 4F_N F_M \sin \alpha
\]

When performing a polarized SANS measurement, it is possible to consider 2 geometries. The first possibility is to apply a field parallel to the neutron propagation direction (Fig. 4.2a). If in this situation the magnetization is parallel to the applied field, no magnetic contribution will be observed. Magnetic scattering can be measured if there is a component of the magnetization not aligned with the applied field. The second possibility is to apply a magnetic field perpendicular to the neutron propagation direction (Fig. 4.2b). In this case, the scattered intensity is modulated by a \( \sin^2 \alpha \) factor (Fig. 4.2c).

Some of the key advantages of SANS are that: (i) there is a strong scattering difference between hydrogen and deuterium which allows to perform selective labeling (of surfactants for example); (ii) the magnetic scattering is very large which make quantitative measurements possible; (iii) Neutron are barely absorbed, which permits to look at bulk samples and to use complex sample environments (low temperatures, high magnetic fields).

In the case of dense packing of nano-objects, the scattering becomes sensitive to the position correlation between the particles. In this case, the measured intensity is given by:

\[
I(Q) = |F(Q)|^2 \cdot S(Q)
\]

where \( S(Q) \) is the structure factor which characterizes the correlations between the particle positions.

The system can be more or less well packed which leads to structure factors which are more or less well defined (see 4.4). In practice, it is difficult to observe any correlation peaks in the structure factor beyond the second nearest neighbour.

We can illustrate the effect on SANS of dense packing of nanoparticles in the case of an assembly of Co nanoparticles. Figure 4.5(top) shows the ordering of magnetite nanoparticles on a surface with a ZFC and FC procedure; Figure 4.5(bottom) shows the
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Figure 4.2: Different configurations for polarized small angle scattering. The field can be applied longitudinal (a) or transverse (b). For a transverse applied field, the intensity is modulated by a $\sin^2 \alpha$ factor. The maximum scattered intensity is obtained in the direction perpendicular to the field (c).

ordering of magnetite nanoparticles in bulk in ZFC and FC procedure [111].

In the above summary, I have presented examples of small angle scattering on well defined objects such as nano-spheres. More generally, it is possible to perform SANS studies to characterize magnetic critical scattering, the penetration of magnetic flux in superconductors (vortices), or any other type of magnetic correlations taking place at a nanometric scale.

The study of the magnetic properties of solid materials is much better performed on single crystals. Past experiences have shown that the use of powders led to very large parasitic SANS signals. In order to perform clean studies, it is thus necessary to use single crystals. This is not necessarily a limitation since rather small crystals are required (a few mm$^3$).

The details of the formalism of magnetic SANS scattering is presented in Annexe XX.

A special Annexe is also dedicated to the effect of magnetic stray fields in PSANS measurements.
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Figure 4.3: Magnetic Co particle with a magnetic core (gray), an oxidized surface (blue), a surfactant layer (green), floating in solvent (blue). It is possible to determine the thickness and the scattering lengths of these different layers by PSANS. (Adapted from A. Wiedenmann et al [110]).

4.2. Magnetic filaments in $Pr_{0.67}Ca_{0.33}MnO_3$ crystals - hopping exchange

Manganites ($A_xB_{1-x}MnO_3$) (where $A$ is a rare earth $La^{3+}$, $Ba^{3+}$, $Pr^{3+}$ ... and $B = Ca^{2+}$, $Sr^{2+}$...) present a broad variety of phases in which the structural, magnetic and transport properties and intimately linked. The magnetism is the result of a spin interaction due to the overlap of the electronic wave functions of the different atoms. In manganese oxides, where the magnetic Mn ions are separated by oxygen ions, the magnetic exchange is mediated by the overlap of the $2p$ orbitals of the $O^{2-}$ ions and the $3d$ orbitals of the $Mn^{3+/4+}$ ions. The nature of this interaction called super-exchange (SE) depends on the orbitals in play. The SE coupling is in general anti-ferromagnetic. When the electrons can delocalize over at least two magnetic ions, a ferromagnetic exchange interaction appear called Double Exchange (DE) (see Figure 4.6). In doped manganites, this exchange is a mixture of SE and DE because of the different configurations of the electronic orbitals. These 2 antagonist interactions (ferromagnetic Vs anti-ferromagnetic) can give rise to a magnetic phase separation [112].

The $Pr_{0.67}Ca_{0.33}MnO_3$ compound has a ferromagnetic transition below which the application of a magnetic field produces a first order transition from an insulating to a conducting state[113]. In this induced metallic-like state, the magnetization relaxes with time, leading to impressive resistive transitions[114]. This can be understood in a percolation picture where ferromagnetic regions are thermally activated into an antiferromag-
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Figure 4.4: Dense packing of particles. The system can be more or less well packed which leads to different structure factors (packing density of 0.3, 0.45, 0.6).

Magnetic insulating state. When the last percolation path breaks, the resistivity suddenly jumps to immeasurably large values. In order to finely characterize the phase separation in $Pr_{0.67}Ca_{0.33}MnO_3$ we have carried out Polarized Small Angle Neutron Scattering (PSANS) under applied fields along with electrical transport and magnetization measurements. The results presented here were obtained on a 1x1x3 mm3 single crystal. The PSANS measurements were carried out at the ORPHEE reactor in Saclay (France) on the spectrometer PAPYRUS.

Unlike bulk measurements which may be interpreted in a phase separation framework because signals do not follow a usual law, the SANS intensity only appears when nanometer size objects are present. Its angular dependence gives the Fourier transform of chemical and magnetic heterogeneities with sizes ranging from 1 nm to 100 nm. As shown on the typical spectrum in the inset of Fig.4.7, the SANS signal is characteristic of magnetic scattering with a contribution in $\sin 2\alpha$ with respect to the direction of the applied field. Hence, the scattering entities are purely magnetic. At 4.2K, measurement show that the scattering follows a power law $q^{-n}$ with $1.6 < n < 1.7$ (see 4.7). This fractional exponent corresponds to fractal dimensions identical to the one observed in dilute polymer solution ($q^{-5/3}$). This parallel suggests that the phase separation observed in $Pr_{0.67}Ca_{0.33}MnO_3$ could be of filamentary type. In order to validate this hypothesis, we have modeled in a self consistent way the magnetic and transport properties which are intimately linked.

In the $Pr_{0.67}Ca_{0.33}MnO_3$ compound, the charge carriers are localized by a random magnetic potential. The electronic conduction takes place by random hopping of the charge carriers from one Mn ion to a neighboring site. This type of conduction is described by the variable range hopping. We propose a model in which after each electronic hop,
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Figure 4.5: (top) Ordering of magnetite nanoparticles on a surface with a ZFC and FC procedure; (bottom) ordering of magnetite nanoparticles in bulk in ZFC and FC procedure (adapted from [111]).

the electrons transfer their magnetic moment to the new site and align with the $Mn^{4+}$ ion magnetic moment. In the process, the total magnetic moment of the electron and the Mn ion is preserved (see Figure 4.8).

Because hopping happens preferentially between ions of similar spin direction, the exchange becomes stronger as spins are more closely aligned, which naturally results in a tendency to phase segregate. Indeed, once one Mn has in its vicinity another Mn with parallel spin, the hopping probability between this pair is overwhelmingly large and the ferromagnetic interaction will occur exclusively between these two moments. The remaining surrounding Mn ions interact only via SE. In order to demonstrate that this model leads to a filamentary phase segregation, Michel Viret has carried out Monte Carlo simulations treating transport and magnetism in a self-consistent manner (more details can be found in [115]). When hopping is turned on, magnetic filaments containing many parallel spin carriers with an enhanced mobility appear, as shown in Fig. 4.9.

In the zero field-cooled (ZFC) state at $H = 0$, the PSANS intensity can be well fitted with an exponent close to $-2$ (Fig. 4.7), i.e. a Debye function. This is consistent with previously published SANS data recorded at zero field and interpreted as an average co-
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\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=0.5\textwidth]{figure4.6.png}
\caption{(top) Super-exchange: an anti-ferromagnetic coupling is created by direct overlap of the Mn-O-Mn orbitals. (bottom) Double exchange: an electron is delocalized over the two Mn ions and gives rise to an effective ferromagnetic coupling.}
\end{figure}

herence or a red cabbage structure [117]. Debye functions being reminiscent of polymer melts, this indicates, in our picture, that the magnetic filaments are entangled and do not self-avoid. This is understandable because a ZFC procedure generates a large density of filaments and a highly resistive state where carriers have to hop further than their nearest neighbors to find states lower in energy. Here, “super-exchange screening” does not work since electrons tunnel over distances longer than the screening length. Hence, Gaussian, entangled, randomly magnetized filaments are generated by a ZFC procedure as shown in Fig. 4.9a. When a field is applied, those filaments with their magnetization parallel to the field grow while others shrink. Within the filaments, mobility is large and carriers proceed by nearest-neighbor-hops, mediating ferromagnetic "hopping exchange". Super-exchange interactions screen the filaments to make them self-avoiding (see Fig. 4.9b) and the measured power laws are around -5/3. This exponent remains unchanged as only the global SANS intensity decreases with field (fig.1), even when the sample resistance drops by orders of magnitude at 3.9 T. The variation in intensity results from a combination of a reduction in magnetic contrast as the background is forced to become more ferromagnetic and an increase in density due to the growth of the filaments. Complementary magnetization measurements (not shown here) allow us to conclude that the volume fraction of the filamentary phase increases monotonically as the field is raised. This naturally leads to a picture of magnetic filaments existing across the entire range of fields and becoming fainter as the background magnetization increases. At higher fields, the carriers leak out of the filaments into the entire volume (fig. 4.9c) which is almost fully magnetized, and produces a homogeneous ferromagnetic phase. The percolation at 3.9 T has no signature since nothing dramatic happens for the magnetic configuration.

In conclusion, we propose here that a ferromagnetic interaction due to electron hopping is responsible for the phase separation in resistive manganites. The random walk motion of the charge carriers leads causes the appearance of magnetic filaments which were evidenced by neutron scattering in $Pr_{0.67}Ca_{0.33}MnO_3$ single crystals and supported by Monte-Carlo simulations. Further details can be found in [115].
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Figure 4.7.: Magnetic SANS intensity from a $Pr_{0.67}Ca_{0.33}MnO_3$ single crystal, for a set of increasing fields from 0 to 6 T after zero field cooling to 4.2K. The two straight lines are power laws with exponents $-2$ and $-5/3$ (the latter line separates spectra measured in the insulating and metallic states). Inset: Typical PSANS 2D spectrum (log scale) showing the $\sin^2 \alpha$ contribution of the magnetic scattering (the central black spot is due to the direct beam catcher).

Figure 4.8.: Hopping magnetic exchange: after each electronic hop, the magnetic moments of the Mn ions get better and better aligned.
4.2. Magnetic filaments in $Pr_{0.67}Ca_{0.33}MnO_3$ crystals - hopping exchange

Figure 4.9: (left) Magnetic filament obtained by Monte-Carlo simulation (calculation performed by Michel Viret). (Right) Schematics of the evolution of the filamentary phase with the applied field. From a disordered assembly of small filaments after the ZFC procedure, the applied field makes filaments with spins parallel to $H$ grow, and shrinks the other one. As the field continues to increase, the filaments percolate at 3.9T and then fade into the background when the difference in magnetization is too low to keep the carriers inside the filaments.
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5. Grazing incidence small angle scattering

5.1. Principle

Since nanosciences are aiming at smaller scales (well below $1\mu m$), off-specular will reach its limits since it is limited to probing rather large correlation lengths ($\xi > 500\,nm$). This is why surface scattering has been extended to the SANS geometry. In this case, one looks at the scattering in the plane perpendicular to the incidence plane (Fig. 1.5, hashed plane). The scattering wave vector is given by $q_x = k_0 \Delta q_y$ and is in a range comparable to the scattering wave vectors in SANS experiments: $10^{-4} < q_y < 3\,nm^{-1}$. This corresponds to correlation lengths $\xi$ ranging from 3 nm to 100 nm.

GISANS may typically be used to study small particles sitting on a surface ($\xi \sim 20-100\,nm$) (Fig. 5.1a), arrays of nanowires ($\xi \sim 20 - 100\,nm$) (Fig. 5.1b), magnetic domains self-organized in a regular structure ($\xi \sim 100\,nm$) (Fig. 5.1c), magnetic-structural surface correlations ($\xi \sim 10 - 20\,nm$) (Fig. 5.1d).

Figure 5.1.: (a) small particle sitting on a surface; (b) arrays of nanowires, (c) magnetic domains self-organized in a regular structure, (c) magnetic-structural surface correlations.

The technique of grazing incidence neutron scattering is based on the propagation of an evanescent wave along the surface when the incident angle is smaller than the critical angle of total reflection. Figure 5.2a illustrate the reflection of a neutron wave incident on a surface for an incidence angle equal to the critical angle of reflection. It can be seen that the neutron wave-function density is increased at the vicinity of the surface.
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It corresponds to the evanescent wave which travels along the surface. It is possible to calculate the penetration depth of the neutron in the substrate as a function of the incidence angle (Figure 5.2). For very small angles, the neutron remain localized over the top 100Å of the surface; as soon as the incidence angle gets close to the critiacl edge, the neutron wave penetrates deeply in the substrate. When the neutron wave-function is localized at the surface in the form of an evanescent wave, its interaction with the surface and thus the scattering cross section are increased.

Figure 5.2.: (a) Reflection of a neutron wave on a surface for an incidence angle equal to the critical angle. (b) Penetration depth of the neutron in the substrate as a function of the incidence angle.

It can be shown that in the case of buried particles (see Figure 5.3a), the scattered intensity can be expressed as [116]:

\[ I(Q) \propto \left| T(k_i)T(k_f)F(Q)e^{iQd}\right|^2 \]

where the \( T \) factors are the transmission coefficients amplitudes. The amplitude of these coefficient increases very strongly around the critical angle (see Fig. 5.3b) so that the scattered intensity is significantly enhanced by about a factor 10.

Figure 5.3.: (a) reflection of buried particles. (b) Enhancement of the transmission coefficient amplitude at the interface close to the critical edge (calculated for an angular and wavelength resolution of 10%).

GISANS experiments are typically performed on SANS spectrometers because a rather good collimation is required in both spatial directions. The spectrometer PAPYRUS at the LLB has been upgraded so as to make GISANS experiments relatively easy to perform (5.4). It provides a versatile sample environment (Cryomagnet 4K – 6T; Displex 4K ; Electromagnet 1T).
5.2. Example: Fe nanodots

By using alumina membrane masks, it is possible to produce arrays of nanodots (see Fig 5.5). C.P. Li et al have fabricated such arrays using Fe. The typical size of the Fe dots ranges in the 30–50 nm scale. Even though the amount of material, we have been able to show that GISANS experiments were possible on such arrays (See Fig. 5.6). A clear magnetic contrast can be observed at the position of the correlation peak. Unfortunately, the low statistics prevented extracting more detailed information such as the magnetic form factor of these objects and probe the magnetic vortex state which is expected to exist in these very small dots.

Figure 5.5.: SEM image of an Fe dot array fabricated using alumina mask anodized at 25 V with average diameter and periodicity of 32 and 63 nm respectively.
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Figure 5.6.: Scattering intensity as the function of momentum transfer vector $Q_y$ for an array of Fe dots 20 nm height, 65 nm average diameter and a continuous Fe film of the same thickness. The statistical errors are given by the square root of the scattering intensity. Due to their small sizes, most of the error bars are covered by symbols. (bottom) Difference between the scattering intensity of dots and that of the film.
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In the previous chapters, we discussed experiments performed at grazing incidence. In this geometry, the interaction between the sample and the neutron beam is maximized but it limits the characterization to nanoscale ferromagnetic properties. One of the unique features of neutron diffraction is that the magnetic order can be probed at the atomic scale [118]. As it has been mentioned above, the neutron magnetic scattering length is of the same order as the nuclear scattering length. Diffraction experiments can be performed with short wavelength neutrons (from 0.5Å to 2.5Å). It is thus possible to measure the magnetic structure factor of a crystal, that is the location of the magnetic atoms. Neutrons provide information about the absolute value of the magnetization but also about their directions (Fe moments in YBa$_2$Fe$_3$O$_8$ for example [119]). It is thus possible to unravel complex magnetic orders (anti-ferromagnetic, helical or with several magnetic sublattices). It is also possible to measure the magnetic form factors which gives the spatial distribution of the magnetic electrons. This permits to reconstruct spin density maps in magnetic crystals [120]. Following the dependence of the magnetic scattering as a function of the temperature can give fine information about magnetic phase transitions: spin reorientation phenomena [121] or the order parameter of magnetic sublattices (Er in ErBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_7$ for example [122]).

The volume of magnetic matter is very small in thin films, but nevertheless, the performances of modern neutron spectrometers are such that high angle diffraction experiments can be performed on epitaxial thin films. Since the absorption is negligible, any direction in the reciprocal space can be probed and the sample substrate is not an issue, which is interesting compared to the use of x-rays. In practice, it is possible to probe epitaxial thin films with thicknesses down to 10 nm. Neutron diffraction is especially unique when probing anti-ferromagnetic crystals. Another advantage in the case of anti-ferromagnetic crystals is that it often gives rise to purely magnetic diffraction peaks which are not superimposed with structural peaks [123]. In the case of oxide films, the AF order in single layer NiO films as thin as 20 nm thick has been probed [124]. Neutron diffraction can be used in various situations for thin films. It has been used to follow the Néel transition temperature of thin films and correlate it with the apparition of exchange bias in systems such as Fe$_3$O$_4$/CoO. It has been demonstrated [125] that the blocking temperature at which the exchange coupling appears is not trivially correlated with the Néel temperature of the AF material. For very thin films (below 5nm), while the blocking temperature drops, the Néel temperature increases significantly.

In [NiO/CoO] superlattices, the propagation of the anti-ferromagnetic order throughout the superlattice as a function of the thickness of the bilayer period (ranging from 4 to 9nm) [126] was probed by neutron diffraction. Neutron diffraction can also be used to check the influence of epitaxial strain on the AF order in epitaxial films. For example, in CoO films, an epitaxial strain of 0.5% increases the Néel temperature by about 15K. This is a rather general trend. More recently, neutron diffraction showed that the epitaxial strain
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destroys the helical order in $BiFeO_3$ films [127]. This is an important piece of information since the knowledge of the magnetic order is a prerequisite for the understanding and use of magneto-electric materials [128]. Beyond the information about the magnetic order, more refined information may be obtained about the sizes of AF domains by analyzing the diffraction peak widths. This information is of particular interest in exchange bias systems in which the anti-ferromagnetic microstructure is likely to play a key role in the exchange bias mechanism. This has been demonstrated in $Fe_3O_4/NiO$ superlattices [129]. The field dependence of domains in the antiferromagnetic $NiO$ is correlated with the presence or absence of exchange biasing. The data suggest that in this system, exchange biasing originates from domain walls frozen in the antiferromagnet upon field cooling.

A number of diffraction studies have also been performed on epitaxial RE thin films [133, 134, 135] in which the large magnetization of rare earths helps performing precise measurements.

I am presenting here the example of a recent study on MnAs films in which I was involved (see Fig. 6.1). The first order magnetic transition in a MnAs film (100nm thick) between the magnetic phase $\alpha$ of MnAs to the paramagnetic $\beta$ phase was followed by neutron diffraction (on the spectrometer 4F1 at the LLB). One can observe that both phases coexist over a wide temperature range ($\sim 70K$) and that the behavior of epitaxial films is very different compared to bulk systems. This allowed V. Garcia et al to understand the role of the epitaxial strains to stabilize the ferromagnetism to higher temperature [132].

![Figure 6.1](image.png)

**Figure 6.1.** Evolution of the lattice parameters $a$ of an epitaxial MnAs thin film [132] as a function of the temperature. (circles) $\alpha$-phase, (triangles) $\beta$-phase, (diamonds) in a bulk sample. One can see that in the thin film, the $\alpha$ and $\beta$ phases coexist over 70 K around the transition temperature.

A few years ago we have evaluated the possibility to perform Polarized Grazing Incidence Diffraction, in order to increase the diffraction efficiency on thin films samples [130, 131]. Technical details are presented in Annex XX. We demonstrated that it was possible to measure the diffraction on oxide films as thin as 20 nm. However, in practice, such experiments are very difficult to set-up since they require a complex sample alignment. It also proved that the lower flux in the guide hall was barely compensated by the grazing incidence geometry. But the biggest drawback of the Grazing Incidence Geometry
is that the scattering plane is limited to the sample surface. Thus, the possibility of having neutrons to passing through the substrate and scanning in arbitrary directions in Q-space is lost. This possibility is a key advantage since it makes neutron diffraction experiments competitive with x-ray experiments. We thus did not pursue the development of Grazing Incidence Diffraction technique.

More recently, significant improvements have been made in the field of diffraction via the use of Position Sensitive Detectors on 4-circles diffractometers. This set-up provides a very simple alignment of the samples even if the scattered signal is very weak and makes the experiment much more efficient than was previously possible. At the atomic scale, diffraction experiments are possible on very small quantities of matter (down to $0.001 \text{mm}^3$). Magnetic structures specific to thin films heterostructures (~20-100nm thick) can be characterized. Rapid progress are being made in this field through the use of high resolution position sensitive detectors on single crystal diffractometers which allow to reduce the acquisition times by an order of magnitude: several diffraction peaks are measured at once, the shapes of the diffraction peaks are measured at once and complex magnetic structures can be very quickly disentangled. Other opportunities may appear in the next 5 years when new neutron spallation sources will come into operation.
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7. Inelastic scattering

The neutron kinetic energy is related to its wavelength by the relation \( E = \frac{h}{2m\lambda} \). After moderation, neutrons are very low kinetic energy particles. A wavelength of 1Å neutrons corresponds to \( XXmeV \) of kinetic energy. This makes neutrons very suitable for the study of the excitations such as phonons or magnons. Neutron scattering is routinely used for the measurement of magnons or phonons dispersion curves in crystals [136].

It is tempting to extend the use of inelastic scattering to magnetic nanostructures. The early successful attempts were performed on large volume sample composed of magnetic nanoparticles. I shall illustrate the technique by a couple of examples. In these cases, the sample volume was not a challenge.

Extending inelastic studies to thin films is far more challenging for the simple reason that the volume of matter is minute, well below a cubic millimeter. Very few successful attempts have been performed.

I have not been personally involved in any of these studies in which people were specifically interested in high energy magnons excitations. However, I have performed an attempt to study very low magnetic excitations (in the GHz range) called magneto-static excitations which are specific to the confined geometry of thin films.

7.1. Small particles

Surprisingly rather few inelastic neutron scattering studies have been performed on small magnetic particles [141, 137, 140, 138, 139]. These studies were limited to spherical magnetic nanoparticles of \( Fe, \alpha - Fe_2O_3 \) and \( NiO \). Figure 7.1 illustrates some of these measurements. Spin-echo spectroscopy provided a measurement of the relation time in correlated assemblies of Fe nanoparticles [138]. Inelastic time-of-flight measurements were used to probe the Néel-Brown model for superparamagnetic relaxation and for the collective magnetic excitations in \( \alpha - Fe_2O_3 \) particles [139].

One of the reason why so few studies have been performed is linked to the request to produce high quality sample (very monodisperse nano-objects) in great quantity (grams). Combining these two requirements has not been very easy until recently. However, things are moving quickly in the synthesis of nano-objects and it is likely that the study of magnetic excitations in nanostructures will develop in the near future.

7.2. Thin films

Presently, information on magnetic excitations in thin films is obtained by inelastic light scattering (BLS [142]) or by ferromagnetic resonance (FMR) [143]. FMR and BLS are limited by the fact that they can probe spin wave-excitations only at the center of the Brillouin zone. It is not possible to determine the dispersion of spin waves over the entire
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Brillouin Zone. With inelastic neutron scattering, it is in principle possible to probe the entire Brillouin zone.

The case of inelastic neutron scattering in magnetic thin films was considered very early [147]. Attempts to perform inelastic measurements on magnetic thin films have been restricted to very specific samples. These inelastic measurements were limited to the study of rather thick films (1\(\mu\)m) and materials with large magnetic moments (Dy [144] and Mn [145], Fig. 7.2). The volume of matter was of the order of 1 mm\(^3\).

Besides these attempts to characterize magnon excitations in thin films (in the meV/THz range), we tried to study the dynamics of magneto-static excitations in thin films (in the GHz range) [146]. Such excitations can be quantified using Brillouin light scattering or ferromagnetic resonance but the dispersion of these waves is not measurable using these techniques (CHECK!). Our aim was to extend the characterization of these excitations to non zero scattering wave vectors. These experiments have unfortunately not been successful. A more detailed description of these experiments is given in Annexe XX.

Presently, the study of magnetic excitations in thin films is limited to feasibility studies and is not a mainstream technique. Unless there is a methodology or technological breakthrough, I do not expect this to change in the foreseeable future.
8. Conclusion – Future

8.1. Neutron – X-ray comparison

In the last decade, great efforts have been made to apply X-ray scattering to the study of the magnetism of thin films. The high flux available on the synchrotron sources compensates for the weak magnetic interaction of X-rays. In this paragraph we want to underline the strengths and weaknesses of the different scattering techniques. Neutron reflectivity has the following characteristics:

+ It is a direct quantitative probe of the magnetization. The data processing is very simple and quantitative. It is straightforward to obtain the magnetization profile (amplitude and direction) in a thin film system.
+ Complex sample environments are available (very low temperatures, high temperatures, high magnetic fields)
+ It is possible to probe buried layers. Protective capping can be used. The corollary is that it is possible to probe complex systems consisting of several layers. It is not necessary to design the system specifically for the scattering experiment.
+ The high transmission of neutron beams allows to probe bulk properties of the materials. This is especially interesting in the case of SANS measurements.

− The flux is low and several hours of measurements are required for each sample and experimental conditions. Dynamics can be probed only down to ∼ 10 µs in stroboscopic mode.
− Neutrons have a weak chemical sensitivity and resonant techniques or spectroscopic techniques do not exist.
− It is not possible to distinguish the spin and orbital moments.

The techniques of magnetic X-ray scattering (X-ray dichroism; resonant X-ray reflectivity; X-ray imaging) have the following advantages / disadvantages:

+ High flux
+ Chemical sensitivity
+ High speed dynamics
+ Imaging possibilities (sub-µm)
− The data processing is very complex because the magnetic interaction is tensorial. Quantitative data are difficult to extract on complex materials.
− It is difficult to setup complex sample environments.
− It is difficult to probe buried layers.
− It is not possible to perform vector magnetometry.
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8.2. Future evolutions

A very large range of correlation lengths in thin film systems can now be probed using neutron surface scattering techniques.

Figure 8.1: Correlation lengths and suitable scattering techniques.

A wide set of techniques are nowadays available (Fig. 8.1): specular neutron reflectivity which is operated routinely, off-specular scattering which is easily performed but which requires complex data processing, Grazing Incidence SANS which is still in development, and diffraction on thin films which in the case of good quality systems is feasible. For the foreseeable future, inelastic experiments on thin films will be restricted to very specific systems. A very large range of correlation lengths in thin film systems can now be probed using these different scattering techniques.

Presently, a big effort is made in order to increase the flux on neutron reflectometers. Flux gains ranging from 10 to 100 can reasonably be expected in the next decade through the implementation of new types of neutron reflectometers (see Part III). Quantitative gains in the measuring time and in the minimum sample size will be achieved. However it is not yet clear if qualitative gains, i.e; new types of measurements besides the ones presented here, will be achieved.

A large number of neutron reflectometers are available across the world [149]. The Web site [150] gives you links to neutron reflectivity simulation and fitting programs.
Part II.

Magnetic non collinear structures - Magnetic chiral structures
9. Non collinear magnetic structures

We shall now discuss the scattering of neutrons on non collinear and more generally on chiral structures. This topic has been extensively studied in the field of diffraction where helical orders in crystals have been studied by neutron diffraction.

In this part we will focus more specifically on nanoscale non collinear structures, that is, with typical lengths well above of few lattice parameters as usually measured by neutron diffraction. In a first section, we recall the different configurations in which non collinear magnetic structures can appear in thin films or at interfaces. The next chapter then illustrates the various thin film systems in which I have encountered such magnetic nanostructures. The last chapter is dedicated to chiral structures observed in magnetic crystals by SANS.

In this chapter I will browse through the different situations in which non collinear magnetic structures may appear. Non collinear magnetic structures appear when a symmetry of the system is broken. This usually happen when magnetic domains are created in a material in which case the translation symmetry is broken. In this case, surfaces called domain walls appear between the magnetic domains. Understanding and modeling the spin structure at these surfaces is important since it plays a role in the magneto-transport properties of a materials. This is of prime interest for spintronics applications [Viret].

Domain walls or non collinear structures can also appear at grain boundaries, antiphase boundaries, interfaces between two materials, in exchange coupled structures such as multilayers in which an oscillatory coupling can appear (Fe/Cr or Fe/Mn).

Non collinear structures also appear in bulk materials in the form of helix. This can be studied by neutron diffraction (typically in rare-earth materials) [Dufour]. In the case of long range magnetic modulations, small angle scattering or reflectometry can be used.

9.1. Magnetic energies

In the formation of magnetic structures, a few magnetic energies play a key role:

- The exchange energy tends to keep adjacent magnetic moment parallel to each other. It corresponds to the cost of a change in the direction $\theta$ of the magnetization between two magnetic moments:

$$E_{ex} = A \left( \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x} \right)^2$$

where $A$ is the exchange stiffness expressed in J/m.

- The magnetostatic energy tends to minimize the dipolar energy. It arises from having a discontinuity in the normal component of the magnetization across an interface:

$$E_{ms} = -\mu_0 M_s \cdot H_i = \frac{\mu_0}{2} M_s^2 \cos^2 \theta$$
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where $H_i = H_{ext} - NM$ is the internal field.

- The magneto-crystalline anisotropy describes the preference for the magnetization to be oriented along certain crystallographic directions:

$$E_a = K_1 (\alpha_1^2 \alpha_2^2 + \alpha_2^2 \alpha_3^2 + \alpha_3^2 \alpha_1^2) \quad \text{for cubic crystals}$$

$$E_a = K_2 \sin^2 \theta \quad \text{for uniaxial crystals}$$

where $\theta$ is the angle with respect to the easy axis and $\alpha_i$ are the direction cosines with respect to the crystallographic axis.

- The magneto-elastic energy is the part of the magneto-crystalline anisotropy which is proportional to strain:

$$E_{me} = B_1 \sum e_{ii} (\alpha_i^2 - \frac{1}{3}) + B_2 \sum e_{ij} \alpha_i \alpha_j \quad \text{for cubic crystals}$$

$$E_{me} = B_1 e_{33} \sin^2 \theta = \frac{3}{2} \lambda_s \sigma \cos^2 \theta \quad \text{for isotropic materials}$$

where $\lambda_s$ is the magnetostrictive constant.

- The Zeeman energy is the potential energy of a magnetic moment in a field:

$$E_Z = -\mu_0 M \cdot H_{ext}$$

- A surface anisotropy energy which can be either positive or negative. A positive surface energy favors a perpendicular magnetization at the interface:

$$E_s = K_S \sin^2 \theta$$

9.2. Domain walls

In real samples, the equilibrium state is rarely a state with an homogeneous magnetization. In bulk crystals, magnetic domains and domain walls are formed to reduce the magneto-static energy. In thin films heterostructures, various types of coupling can lead to non collinear magnetic states.

We consider the general situation of 2 media separated by an interface at $z = 0$. Medium \{1\} spans from $-d_1$ to 0 and medium \{2\} spans from 0 to $d_2$. The normal to the interface is taken as the $(Oz)$ axis. The direction of the magnetization with respect to the $(Ox)$ axis is given by $\theta$. We will quickly describe the way to derive the magnetization equilibrium state and apply it to various situations encountered in thin film systems.

The total magnetic energy can be expressed as:

$$E_{tot} = E_{ex} + E_a + E_Z + E_{int} + E_{surf}$$

$$= \int_{-d_1}^{d_2} \left[ A(z) \left( \frac{d\theta}{dz} \right)^2 + K_u(z) \sin^2 \theta - \mu_0 M \cdot H \cos \theta + \delta(z) A_{int} \left( \frac{d\theta}{dz} \right)^2 + \delta(z) K_S \sin^2 \theta \right] dz$$

where we have included the exchange energy, an uniaxial magnetic anisotropy $K_u$ lying along $(Ox)$, the Zeeman energy with an external field $H$ applied along the $(Ox)$ direction and two interfacial contributions, an exchange interface energy and a surface anisotropy
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Figure 9.1.: General situation of two media separated by an interface at \( z = 0 \).

energy. Note that the demagnetizing field contribution is neglected in the above expression.

We want to determine the stable wall profile \( \theta(z) \). It is thus necessary to minimize the total magnetic energy. The variational principle should be applied to the above equation: \( \delta E_{\text{tot}} = 0 \). This derivation provides Euler equations [153]:

\[
2A(z) \frac{d^2 \theta}{dz^2} = 2K_u \sin \theta \cos \theta + \mu_0 M \cdot H \cos \theta
\]

This differential can be solved in medium \{1\} and \{2\} and provides the general form:

\[
\theta(z) = \text{ArcTan} \left[ \sinh \left( a_0 + b_0 z \right) \right] + \frac{\pi}{2} = 2\text{ArcTan} \left[ \exp \left( a_0 + b_0 z \right) \right]
\]

We shall now browse through different situations which are encountered in real systems.

9.2.1. Bloch wall: 180° domain wall

The most standard situation is encountered in bulk materials where magnetic domains appear. In this case, medium \{1\} and \{2\} are equivalent and can be described by an exchange constant \( A \) and an anisotropy \( K_u \). Since domains are much larger than the domain walls, the limits \( d_1 \) and \( d_2 \) can be set to infinity. The boundary conditions can then be taken as \( \left( \frac{d \theta}{dz} \right)_{\pm \infty} = 0 \).

The Euler equation can thus be solved and provide the following variation:

\[
\theta(z) = \text{ArcTan} \left[ \sinh \left( \frac{\pi z}{\delta} \right) \right] + \frac{\pi}{2} = 2\text{ArcTan} \left[ \exp \left( \frac{\pi z}{\delta} \right) \right]
\]

with \( \delta_{\text{DW}} = \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{K_u}} \) being the domain wall width.

This situation can be encountered in reflectivity when a Bloch wall is created parallel to a thin film surface. Such a configuration can be created by applying an electrical current in the magnetic thin film. Such systems are presently under study together with Michel Viret (IRAMIS/SPEC).
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9.2. Néel wall

In bulk materials, Bloch walls are the most favorable magnetic walls since they minimize the magneto-static energy. However, in thin films, Bloch walls give rise to magneto-static energy at the top and the bottom of the wall (Fig. 9.3a). For sufficiently thin films, it is favorable to create a Néel wall (Fig. 9.3b) in which the spins rotate in a plane perpendicular to the domain wall (Fig. 9.4). The magnetization remains in the thin film plane.

The width of a Néel wall is given by \( \delta_{DW} = \pi \sqrt{\frac{2A}{K_u}} \).

9.2.3. Anti-phase boundaries

We shall now consider the case in which there is a physical interface between the two different magnetic domains. We first consider the case of an Anti-Phase Boundary which commonly appears in spinelle structures such as \( \text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4 \) or \( \text{CoFe}_2\text{O}_3 \).

At an anti-phase boundary, in spinelle materials, the magnetic sub-lattices are anti-ferromagnetically coupled. This gives rise to the pinning of domains walls at these interfaces. On Fig. 9.5, I have represented the case of 2 regions strongly anti-ferromagnetically
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Figure 9.4.: (a) Néel wall. (b) Variation of the magnetization angle Vs position.

coupled. At the interface there is a sharp jump of the magnetization by an angle $\pi$. This affects the magnetization in the material over a distance $\delta_{APB} = \pi \sqrt{A_F / M_s H}$.

Figure 9.5.: (a) APB wall. (b) Variation of the magnetization angle Vs position.

9.2.4. Grain boundaries

Non collinear magnetization can appear in nanocrystalline materials containing grain boundaries. At these grain boundaries, it is possible that the exchange is reduced.

Figure 9.6.: (a) Exchange constant $A$ variation across an interface; (b) Rotation of the magnetization across the interface.

9.2.5. Anisotropy changes

It is also possible that the anisotropy is modified at the interface. This may have several origins: (i) a surface anisotropy $K_s$ appear at the free interface, (ii) 2 magnetic materials with different anisotropies are in contact with each other. The detailed magnetic configurations are specific to every detail of the system.
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9.2.6. Bloch - Néel mixture

In some systems where the dimensions are reduced, Bloch and Néel configurations coexist. Analytical solutions are not tractable and analytical solutions are required. Several micromagnetic packages (OOMMF, NMAG, MAGPAR) are now freely available. They provide a very fast way to simulate complex micromagnetic configurations. The mixture of Bloch and Néel walls can be encountered in thin films systems such as thin FePt or FePd perpendicular anisotropy. This will be illustrated in the next chapter.
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10.1. GMR systems

The optimization of multilayer stacks for magnetic sensors and more sophisticated spin electronics devices requires the precise knowledge of the magnetic properties of each layer together with their behavior as a function of the applied field. Polarized Neutron Reflectometry can give vectorial measurements of magnetic moments. We present results obtained on GMR spin valves. The neutron reflectivity gives with a high precision, the thickness and magnetic moment configuration and reveals the mechanism of reversal of the soft magnetic layer. This piece of information permits the optimization of very low noise GMR sensors. The studied GMR spin valve has a rather standard composition: SiO$_2$/Ta(5nm)/NiFe(3.7)/CoFe(1.2)/Cu(2.4)/CoFe(2.4)/MnPt(35)/Ta(10). The soft layer (NiFe(3.7)/CoFe(1.2)) can rotate in a field of several Oe as the hard layer (CoFe(2.4)/MnPt(35)) is blocked for fields larger than 1T. The GMR is built with an easy axis of the soft layer (created by an applied external field during the growth) perpendicular to the hard axis. Figure 10.1 shows SQUID measurements on a 9x10mm square sample. This sample has been chosen among others because it exhibits a larger coercivity of the soft layer and allows us to separate well the two directions of the varying field. The GMR effect with current flowing parallel to the layer is increased when the thicknesses of the different layers are smaller. However, a too small NiFe layer gives rather bad GMR spin valves. This GMR exhibit a reasonable effect of 9.18% and a very low 1/f noise. The reason for the good behaviour is the absence of domain formation during reversal process independently of the direction of the hard layer. In the presence of domains, 1/f noise can be several orders of magnitude larger.

In order to follow the magnetic configuration as a function of the magnetic field, we have used the procedure described in reference [209]. A first measurement has been performed in a magnetic saturating field. The hard and soft layers are aligned. Spin-flip reflectivity is then very low due to the absence of non collinear magnetic moments. The reflectivity of the system in this magnetic state is given in figure 10.2. We measure a very low roughness (< 0.5 nm RMS). We have then followed the magnetic configuration as a function of the applied magnetic field. The reflectivities have been measured for a small set of angles as a function of the applied magnetic field. Then, using the parameters deduced from the saturated state, these reflectivities have been adjusted by varying a single parameter: the magnetic direction of the soft layer. It appears during the fit that a homogeneous magnetic configuration in the NiFe layer cannot account for the measured reflectivities.
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Figure 10.1: SQUID measurements of the spin-valve GMR01. The anti-ferromagnetic layer is aligned perpendicular to the easy axis of the free layer.

Figure 10.2: (a) Reflectivity of the system GMR01 in a field of 15mT. The curves given correspond to an anti-ferromagnetic arrangement of the two CoFe layers (point A of figure 10.1). Black squares: $R^{++}$, white squares $R^{--}$, best fit in black line. (a) Evolution of the reflectivity $R^{++}/R^{--}$ and $R^{+-}/R^{++}$ ($\times 10$) at $Q = 0.53\text{nm}^{-1}$ as a function of the applied magnetic field; (b) schematics of the evolution of the magnetization direction of the three magnetic layers as a function of the applied magnetic field. The letters refer to the positions on the hysteresis loop (see fig. 10.1).

One needs to consider that a small magnetization rotation with respect to the CoFe layer occurs inside the NiFe layer. The fit of the curves have been made by cutting the NiFe layer into 3 homogeneous layers of 1.06 nm. The limited intensity in the neutron experiments does not allow a better precision of the NiFe rotation. The evolution of the magnetic configuration of the GMR system is given in figure 3b. The small rotation of the NiFe, almost non visible in the magnetization curve, is clearly revealed by the neutron reflectometry curves.

Even at 8 mT, the free layer appears to be not fully aligned with the external magnetic field. This effect appears clearly on the SQUID measurements under 6mT, (points A and C on figure 1) but after A and C a small rotation still exists which is non detectable.
10.2. Artificial anti-phase boundary at a Fe₃O₄/CoFe₂O₄ interface.

by SQUID measurements. The effect of that rotation is to induce a coherent rotation of the free layer, beginning from the bottom (Ta layer) to the top (CoFe layer) during the reversal. This effect avoids any domain formation and therefore leads to low frequency magnetic noise in the sensitive region of the GMR. The maximal angle of rotation of the magnetization in NiFe is fixed by a competition between the anisotropy and the exchange. This gives a rotation of about 0.5° for 0.1 nm (like in NiFe domain walls) and then about 25° for the total NiFe layer in reasonable agreement with the maximum rotation observed in the layer (30°5°). Off-specular neutron scattering did not reveal the presence of magnetic domains. The reflectivity values are also adjusted by using the full nominal moments of the layers suggesting that there is no significant domain formation even in unpatterned layers. Conclusion Through the particular case of the optimization of 1/f noise of GMR sensors by avoiding domain formation, we have shown how precise can be the determination of the magnetic configuration using PNRPA even on present sources. Very small rotations of magnetic moments in a specific layer can be determined allowing an in depth understanding of the magnetic evolution of the system under an applied field.

10.2. Artificial anti-phase boundary at a Fe₃O₄/CoFe₂O₄ interface.

In the case of thin films, it is possible to create artificial APB boundaries. J.-B. Moussy and A. Ramos have grown heterostructures such as Fe₃O₄|CoFe₂O₄∥Al₂O₃. In such thin films structures, the finite thickness of the layers has to be taken into account. I have performed numerical modelling of the expected magnetization structure at the interface. If we consider the case where the CoFe₂O₄ is much thinner than the Fe₃O₄ layer, the rotation of the angle takes mostly place in the thinner layer and the magnetization is barely perturbed in the thicker layer (see Fig. 10.3).

![Magnetic profile for d1=50,20,10,5 nm](image)

Figure 10.3.: Rotation of the magnetization as a function of the CFO layer thickness (5, 10, 20, 50 nm).

The Fe₃O₄|CoFe₂O₄ hetero-structure has been fabricated by MBE by J.-B. Moussy and A. Ramos. It exhibit a very specific magnetization dependance. Both magnetic layers switch at rather different magnetic fields (Fig. 10.4). The difference in reversal fields increases strongly when the temperature is decreased.
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Figure 10.4.: Magnetization curves for a CoFe$_2$O$_4$ (5 nm)/Fe$_3$O$_4$ (15 nm) bilayer measured at various temperatures. The normalized hysteresis loops are superposed and reveal a linear evolution of $H_s$ as a function of $T$ (insert).

We have followed the structure of the different magnetic layers as a function of the applied field using PNR. The results of the magnetic depth profiles are presented on Fig. 10.5). It shows that the layer which reverses first is the CFO layer even though this layer has the highest coercivity when it is produced as a single film. During the reversal, at around 0.15T, a small hint of an AF coupling between the two layers can be observed.

Figure 10.5.: Magnetization depth profiles obtained from room temperature PNR measurements at different stages of the magnetic hysteresis cycle.

10.3. Magnetic stripes in FePd layers

We present here the first example of a Grazing Incidence SANS experiment on a magnetic thin film [193]. FePt thin film layers self organize themselves in magnetic stripe domains (Fig. 10.6a). The stripes are almost perfectly ordered as a periodic pattern with a period of about 100 nm. In order to study in-depth this magnetic pattern, a Grazing incidence SANS experiment was performed on the spectrometer PAPYRUS at the LLB. The neutron beam was sent at grazing incidence ($\theta_{in} = 0.7^\circ$) on the layer, the magnetic domains being parallel to the incidence plane (Fig. 10.6a). Diffraction from the magnetic domains can
be observed. Fig. 10.6b details the different contributions of the Grazing Incidence SANS signal. An integration at fixed $q_z$ has been performed and is presented on Fig. 10.6c. Three diffraction orders can be observed (the second order being extinct). In order to model the system, it is necessary to take into account the Néel caps between the magnetic stripes as well as the magnetic stray fields (Fig. 10.6d) [194].

![Figure 10.6](image)

Figure 10.6: GISANS signal from a magnetic domains nanostructure. (a) Magnetic Force Microscopy image of the magnetic domain and scattering geometry. (b) GISANS signal on the detector for $\theta_{in} = 0.7^\circ$. (c) GISANS signal at constant $q_z$. (d) Distribution of the magnetic induction in the thin films.

Specular neutron reflectivity measurements have also been performed on a similar system in which two FePd layers are combined. The bottom layer has an in-plane anisotropy. The top layer has a perpendicular anisotropy. The advantage of this system is that it exhibits a more ordered magnetic domain pattern. The magnetic configuration in the thickness of the bilayer system has been calculated (Fig. 10.7).

Specular neutron reflectivity measurements have been performed on this system by G. Beutier et al. In a specular geometry, only the average in-plane magnetization is measured. The reflectivity were fitted to provided the average in-plane magnetization in the films (Fig. 10.8). It can be seen that the calculations (Fig. 10.7) are in very good agreement with the measurements).
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Figure 10.7: Simulated magnetic configuration for a bilayer 30nm/30nm (courtesy of G. Beutier).

Figure 10.8: Fit of the PNR data of the average in-plane magnetization and comparison with the simulated magnetic configuration for a bilayer 30nm/30nm (courtesy of G. Beutier).
11. Chiral effects in polarized neutron reflectometry

Polarized neutron reflectometry is a tool routinely used for the characterization of magnetic thin film hetero-structures. The full treatment of polarized neutron reflectometry was only published rather recently [33, 62, 32, 200]. However, all the implications of the full calculation have not been fully explored. We discuss here some specific issues related to the spin-flip signals. It is usually assumed that the spin-flip reflectivity signals are symmetrical, \( R^+ = R^- \). The first experimental report of non-symmetrical spin-flip signal was made by Felcher and al [63, 64]. The prediction of the effects was mentioned in [33, 62]. We focus in this communication on the effects of magnetic chiral structures on the neutron reflectivity signals. Chiral effects in neutron reflectivity In this section we describe the different effects which are likely to break the symmetry between the \( R^+ = R^- \) signals in a reflectivity experiment. The first effect is related to Zeeman energy changes which can take place when the neutron flips during the reflection on a surface. If a sufficiently high magnetic field is applied on the sample, if the neutrons experience a spin-flip during the reflection, they will either gain or lose energy. Since the reflection process is an elastic one, the energy is fully transferred as a gain or loss in kinetic energy. The conditions required to observe such effects are that (i) a sufficiently high field, of a fraction of a Tesla is applied on the sample (ii) there is a sufficiently high spin-flip cross section. Both requirements are opposite since in usual case, the magnetization will align with the applied field and the spin-flip scattering cross section will be zero. In practice, these effects are observed when the magnetic field is applied perpendicular to the sample and the demagnetizing field prevents the magnetization to rotate out of the thin film plane. These are the conditions under which the effect was quantified for the first time [63]. (mention Fe/Cr, Physica B).

The Figure 11.1 describes the notations used in the following to describe the magnetic field and the magnetization directions. We use spherical coordinates. \((Oz)\) is the axis perpendicular to the surface. \(\theta\) is the zenith angle between \(B\) or \(M\) and the \((Oz)\) axis. If \(\theta = 90^\circ\), \(B\) or \(M\) are in the surface plane. If \(\theta = 0^\circ\), \(B\) or \(M\) are perpendicular to the surface. \(\phi\) is the azimuth angle in the film plane.

If we consider the situation of an in-plane magnetization, if the guide field \(B\) is low (tens of mT), the spin-flip cross section is very large as soon \(B\) is non collinear with \(M\) (see Fig. 11.2a). However, both spin-flip signals \(R^+ \) and \(R^- \) are equal. The reflectivity does not depend on the fact that \(B\) is or not in the film plane. When the applied field is large (fraction of a tesla), significant asymmetry effects are observed in the spin-flip cross sections (see Fig. 11.2b).

The second geometry which can break the symmetry is the case where magnetic chiral structures exist in the magnetic film. We illustrate this situation with a Fe semi-infinite medium. We assume that we have a chiral structure at the surface of the thick Fe film in
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which the magnetization rotates in-plane from the direction \((Ox)\) to \((-Ox)\) over a thickness of 40nm (see Figure 11.3). If the incident polarization is in the film plane, we observe of course a very large spin-flip signal. However, there is no asymmetry in the spin-flip reflectivity (see Figure 11.3b). When the incident polarization is perpendicular to the film plane, a large difference appear in the two spin-flip cross sections. The non spin-flip cross sections are identical. In an intermediate situation where the magnetization makes an angle of 45° with respect to the surface (see Figure 11.3c), the difference between the two spin-flip cross section becomes even bigger. The non spin-flip cross sections are again very different and close to the first situation. These effects are qualitatively very different from the Zeeman energy effects (both in their symmetries and in the configurations in which they play a role).

Donner une explication avec les mains. Tracer la precession de \(M\) dans la couche ! Est-ce qu’il y a des effets de « resonance », pour expliquer qu’il y a plus de SF dans le cas 3 ?

11.1. Chiral structures in an \(Fe/FeF_2\) bilayer

Magnetic chiral structures can be created in various ways. A recent work [17] used hard/soft magnetic bilayers in order to create a chiral structure in the soft layer. Multi-layers grown under field may also exhibit chiral structures [201]. This last technique led however to very fragile structures. We have chosen another approach which consists in using the exchange bias mechanism. We grew an exchange bias structure \(Fe/FeF_2\). In our case, the use of the exchange bias makes the system especially simple since we have a single magnetic layer deposited on a thick AF layer which does not interfere with the measurement since it is non magnetic. This makes the data interpretation rather simple. The coupling between both layers is high. The exchange field is \(1mT\). The constraint of the system is that it is necessary to work at low temperatures to observe coupling effects. One advantage is that it is possible to control the exchange coupling by controlling the magnetic field and temperature history. This permits to create a single chirality state in the system which is usually non trivial in other systems.

Experimental procedure for the reflectivity measurements Note that a very large asym-
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Figure 11.2.: (a) Relative orientations of B and M giving the same results. (b) Spin-flip reflectivity with M // B in low fields. Both configurations lead to the same spin-flip signals. The reflectivity with B//M is plotted as a reference. (c) Reflectivity cross sections in high fields (0.5T). All top configurations give the same results. Note the very large splitting of the 2 spin-flip signals up-do and do-up.

metry is observed in the spin-flip signals. The signals are qualitatively similar to the model calculation presented in Fig 11.5. The data can reasonably be well fitted by introducing a partial magnetization twist throughout the Fe layer (see Fig. 11.6).

11.1.1. Other technique to evidence chiral structures

Even though the above results show unambiguously the presence of a partial domain wall in the Fe/FeF$_2$ bilayer, we also propose another type of representation of the reflectivity derived from the work of Lee at al [202]. This shows more directly that the magnetization is non homogeneous throughout the thickness of the layer. We recall that the quantity \( \frac{(R^{++} - R^{-+})/(R^{++} - R^{-+})_{sat}} \) is equal to the cosine of the angle between the magnetization and the neutrons spins. In the case of an homogeneous magnetization, \( \cos(\phi) \) is constant whatever the value of the scattering wave vector. The scattering wave vector corresponds to a typical probing depth in the film thickness. At length-scales smaller than the ones given by the scattering wavevector, the scattered intensity corresponds roughly to an average of the amplitudes. At larger length-scales, the intensities add up. Thus any variation of the magnetization direction in the depth of the film should modify the dependence of \( \cos(\phi) \) for a \( Q \) range corresponding to a size smaller than the characteristic length-scales of the magnetization variations. Figure 11.7 represents the quantity in the case of our system. The experimental points are not along a horizontal line and 2 scales can be extracted. The rapid oscillations correspond to the total thickness of the Fe layer.
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The general curvature indicates the presence of a helix structure.

By using polarized neutron reflectometry, it is thus possible to obtain information on the distribution of the magnetization throughout the thickness of a layer, not only in magnitude (reduce or augmented at the interfaces) but also in directions. In the case of the $Fe/FeF_2$ system, we have been able to evidence a $30^\circ$ fanning structure over a thickness of 20 nm during the magnetization reversal.
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Figure 11.4: Hysteresis cycle on a Fe/FeF$_2$ bilayer. (i) at 300K, $H_c = 22$ Oe, remanence $= 0.8M_s$. (ii) at 10K after FC(0.2T) : $H_e = -14$ Oe, $H_c = 31$ Oe, remanence $= 0.87M_s$.

Figure 11.5: (a) Reflectivity in the saturated state at high temperature. This gives the parameters describing the system. (b) Reflectivity at low temperature in the exchange bias state.

Figure 11.6: Model of the magnetization throughout the Fe layer.
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Figure 11.7: Asymmetry normalized by the saturation asymmetry \( \cos(\phi) = \frac{(R^{++} - R^-)}{(R^{++} - R^-)_{sat}} \). For a constant tilt of the magnetization, \( \cos(\phi) \) is constant. If there is a twist in the structure, the ratio depends on the scattering wave-vector. (a) Theoretical calculation for a twist going from 30° to 60°. (b) Experimental measurement on a Fe/MgO structure.
12. Chiral structures in crystals

We present here the characterization of the micromagnetic structure and its link with the magneto-transport properties in $La_{0.22}Ca_{0.78}MnO_3$ single crystals. We show that magnetic domain wall profiles can be measured using SANS scattering. By using a “hopping exchange model” we then show that it is possible to correlate the resistivity properties with the domain wall thickness. This evidences that a large part of the magneto-resistance find its origin in local magnetic defects with a typical size of the order of a few nanometers.

12.1. Sample

The family of $La_{1-x}Ca_xMnO_3$ manganese perovskites exhibit a complex phase diagram as a function of the stoichiometry $x$. For $x < 0.17$, the compound is anti-ferromagnetic. For $0.17 < x < 0.25$, various experimental measurements suggest that there is a coexistence of insulating and conducting ferromagnetic phases. For $0.17 < x < 0.22$, the compound is macroscopically insulating. For $0.22 < x < 0.25$, the compound is macroscopically conducting. For $x > 0.3$, the compound behaves as a “good” ferromagnet. We have studied the compound with $x = 0.22$ which exhibit a very peculiar micromagnetic behavior. The $La_{0.22}Ca_{0.78}MnO_3$ compound has a Curie temperature of 189K (see Fig. 12.13). The resistivity increases strongly before the Curie temperature is reached then decreases again very quickly. At lower temperature it increases and decreases again. This second maximum is not associated to any well defined transition. It must be noted that the resistivity of this compound is very sensitive to its history. On the Fig. 12.13insert, the resistivity of a close compound $La_{0.2}Ca_{0.8}MnO_3$ measured for 3 different preparation state is presented. These evolutions suggest that the major part of the resistivity is due to extrinsic effects and in particular to micro-structural defects. In the following we will show that this resistivity can be explained by the micromagnetic structure.

The magnetic micro-structure has been probed by Kerr imaging. Zig-zag structures following the main crystallographic orientations are observed. The zig-zag structure strongly suggests that the magnetic microstructure is pinned on twin defects. The size of the domains is about $50\mu m$ (independent of the temperature). The fact that the size of the domain remains stable over a wide range of temperature suggest that they are connected to structural defects. It can be noted that a typical size for the twinning is of the order of $100\mu m$. When the temperature is lowered further, new mechanical strains appear and thus new defects. This gives rise to new micromagnetic sub-structures. The remanence of the system is zero. The micro-domains structure is reversible.
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![Resistivity of La\textsubscript{0.8}Ca\textsubscript{0.2}MnO\textsubscript{3} versus temperature](image)

Figure 12.1.: Resistivity of the $La_{0.22}Ca_{0.78}MnO_3$ versus temperature (adapted from [158]). (insert) Resistivity of the $La_{0.8}Ca_{0.2}MnO_3$ (adapted from [159].)

12.2. Experimental

The crystal was characterized by Small Angle Neutron Scattering (SANS) on the spectrometer PAPYRUS at the Laboratoire Léon Brillouin with the aim to probe the magnetic domain walls structure. The SANS signal was followed as a function of the temperature in a Zero Field Cooled procedure (ZFC). At $200K$, a small parasitic signal can be observed. At $T_c = 190K$, a strong diffuse scattering appears which concentrates over smaller and smaller $Q$ values while the temperature is decreased. The scattering is rather isotropic. In order to perform quantitative comparison, the signal was circularly integrated.

Fig. 12.4a illustrates the situation when the Curie temperature is crossed during the ZFC. Above $T_c$, the scattering is very low. At $T_c$, magnetic diffuse scattering is observed which extend over the whole accessible $Q$ range (up to $0.7\ nm^{-1}$). When the temperature is lowered well below $T_c$, down to $170K$, the diffuse scattering still increases but a cut-off scattering vector appear around $0.5\ nm^{-1}$. When the temperature is further lowered, the cut-off frequency shifts to lower values ($0.27\ nm^{-1}$ at $140K$). Fig. 12.4b illustrates the evolution of the cut-off frequency as a function of the temperature. The dependence of the inverse cut-off wave-vector $2\pi/Q_c$ is linear (see insert in Fig. 12.4b). For temperatures below $100K$, the SANS signal does not evolve anymore even though the resistivity measurements suggest that a second transition takes place.

At low temperatures, the signal asymptotically converges towards a $1/Q^4$ dependence (see Figure 12.5a) following a Porod law. This suggests that the scattering takes place on interfaces [162].

It is possible to calculate the scattering invariant $I\cdot Q^2 = \int I(Q)\cdot Q^2\,dQ$ of the different curves. Over the whole temperature range, the scattering invariant is constant. This suggests that only the shape of the scattering structures evolves as a function of the temperature but not their topology [162]. That is, only the shape of the domain walls are modified with the temperature, not their number. The integrated surface of the interfaces is preserved when the temperature is varied.

The SANS signal was also measured as a function of the applied magnetic field (Fig. 12.6). The SANS scattering decreases when a field of a few $kG$ are applied and disappears
under magnetic field of approximately $1 \, T$ (Fig. 12.6a). It should also be noted that the scattering signal is perfectly reversible even after the application of a $6\, T$ field (Fig. 12.6a). This is coherent with the Kerr effect observations. The domain walls always reappear at the same positions.

12.3. **Discussion**

We have gathered the following observations:

(i) A strong scattering is observed at the Curie temperature suggesting that it is of magnetic origin.

(ii) The scattering disappears and is reversible under an applied magnetic field. Thus the scattering does not originate from a chemical contrast.

(iii) The low temperature $1/Q^4$ dependence suggests that we have scattering interfaces which are likely to be the interfaces between magnetic domains.

(iv) The scattering form factor evolves as a function of the temperature.

All these observations prove that we are indeed measuring the local magnetic structure of the interface between two domain walls. A jump in scattering length exists between two
domains of magnetization $+M$ and $-M$. The interface between two domains evolves with the temperature. When a magnetic field is applied, it overcomes the magneto-crystalline anisotropy and the magnetizations of adjacent domains becomes collinear. Thus the scattering contrast disappears.

It can be shown that in the case of a non sharp interface perpendicular to $(Oz)$, that is, with a scattering length $b(z)$ varying continuously across the interface, the scattered intensity can be expressed as [163]:

$$I(Q) \propto \frac{1}{Q^4} |F(Q)|^2$$ with $F(Q) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{db(z)}{dz} e^{iQz} dz$

$F(Q)$ is called the effective form factor of the interface and contains the information about the smoothness of the interface. For a sharp interface, $\frac{db}{dz} = \delta(z)$ so that $F(Q) = 1$, and we find the classical Porod law $I(Q) \propto 1/Q^4$. This is what is observed at low temperatures in our measurements (see Fig. 12.5a). Using our SANS data it is possible to plot the effective form factor of the domains walls $|F(Q)|^2 \propto I(Q).Q^4$ (see Fig. 12.7).

In the case where the variation of the SLD across a surface can be described by an $erf$ function (which typically corresponds to a gaussian roughness), the SLD gradient is a gaussian $\frac{db}{dz} \propto e^{-z/\sigma^2}$ and thus the effective form factor is also gaussian $F(Q) \propto e^{-Q^2\sigma^2}$. In our case the effective form factor has a much more complicated shape since it has a clear peak at finite $Q$ values suggesting that the SLD variation across the interface is rather complex. It is not possible to perform a direct inversion of this effective form
factor. We have thus considered a first approach which consists in using reflectivity modeling to account for the data. The $I(Q)$ signals were fitted using a model free stacking of layers. The fitted data are presented on Figure 12.8a. For technical purposes, the experimental data points have been oversampled and the curves have been smoothed. The SLD profiles across the interface are presented on 12.8b. These curves should be considered as qualitative models since the data Q-range is very limited. Nevertheless, it provides 2 key information: (i) the width of the interfacial region ($9 \text{ nm}$, $13 \text{ nm}$, $23 \text{ nm}$ for $180 \text{ K}$, $167 \text{ K}$ and $149 \text{ K}$ resp.). These values are the same as the one determined by calculating $2\pi/Q_c$ (see Figure 12.4insert); (ii) the variation of the SLD across the interface looks anti-symmetric that is it suggests an anti-ferromagnetic coupling at the interface. The amplitude of the SLD modulation is of the order of $3 \times 10^{-6} \text{ Å}^{-2}$ which is compatible with a variation from $+M$ to $-M$ with $M = 3.5 \mu_B/\text{unitcell}$.

Considering the previous data, it is possible to model the SLD variation across the interface using analytical functions. A first possible function could be $b(z) \propto z/d^2 \ e^{-(z/d)^2}$ where $d$ characterizes the thickness of the interface. The SLD gradient is then given by $db/dz \propto 1/d^2 e^{-(z/d)^2} - 2z/d^3 e^{-(z/d)^2}$. The normalization of the SLD profile $b(z)$ by the factor $1/d^2$ is such that $\int |b(z)| \ dz = Cte$. At this point, this has no physical justification except for the fact that it is required so that the calculated effective form factor follows the experimental measurement. Another possibility is to consider the functions $b(z) \propto z/d^2 e^{-|z|/d}$ and $db/dz \propto 1/d^2 e^{-|z|/d} - |z|/d^3 e^{-|z|/d}$. The typical variations of these functions is presented on Figure 12.9. The $d$ parameters has been chosen so that the calculations reproduce the experimental data presented on Figure 12.7. Both analytical models provide very similar results which catch most of the features of the experimental data. It is possible to reproduce the peak position, the overlap of the curves for small Q values, and the relative intensities between the different curves (within 50%).

Figure 12.5.: (a) Intensity versus $Q$ in a Log-Log representation for low temperature measurements. (b) Scattering invariant $I.Q^2$ as a function of the temperature.
Figure 12.6.: Evolution of the SANS signal under an applied magnetic field at 120 K (a) and at 140 K (b). Note that after the application of a 6T field, the SANS signal is perfectly reversible (b).

The first analytical form provides a symmetrical variation of the magnetization. The second form provides a sharper interface and magnetization variations which extend deeper in the material. This last model is close to an anti-phase boundary model. However, we should mention that the simple analytical form $b(z) \propto \text{sign}(z)/d^3 e^{-|z|/d}$ leading to $db/dz \propto -1/d^3 e^{-|x|/d}$ which is usually used to model anti-phase boundaries does not reproduce at all the peak in $|F(Q)|^2$ at finite $Q$ values. This emphasizes the fact that one of the key feature is the interfacial region in which a sharp change of the magnetization occurs.

We define the width $\delta$ of the transition region by the FWHM of the $b(z)$ profile. We define the width $\delta_i$ of the transition region by the peak to peak distance of the $b(z)$ profile. $\delta_i$ is related to $d$ by $\delta = 1.4d$ for the first model and $\delta = 2d$ for the second model. The characteristic sizes of the domain walls are plotted on Figure 12.10. One can note that the value given by the maximum peak position $2\pi/Q_c$ corresponds to the domain width $\delta$. The interfacial region is much more narrow (2.5×) but follows the same variations.

The measured characteristic sizes for the interface profiles (ranging from 3 to 20 nm) are not compatible with pure Bloch walls which have a typical size of 100 nm. In order to explain such short length-scales it is necessary to consider that the magnetic exchange is significantly reduced in this interfacial region. This is very plausible since it has been observed that interfacial regions in manganites are magnetically very disturbed [Parkin, Ott]. The characteristic size of the domain walls follows $\delta \propto \sqrt{A/K}$. When the temperature is decreased, the exchange increases and thus the characteristic size of the domain wall increases. Any change in the magneto-crystalline anisotropy is likely to be dominated by changes in the exchange constants. We could also underline the possible role of the mechanical constraints on the twins when the temperature is varied. When the temperature decreases, the mechanical constraints on the twin increases so that the magnetic exchange is more disturbed and so the thickness of the wall increases.
12.4. Magneto-resistance of a domain wall

We have shown that a complex, localized magnetic structure is established in the compound at twin boundaries. The characteristic length of such defects being of the order of a few nanometers is such that it plays a significant role on the electrical tunnel transport.

12.4. Magneto-resistance of a domain wall

We will now correlate the measured domain wall configuration with the magneto-transport properties. In manganese oxides, the electrical transport is governed by a Variable Range Hopping mechanism (VRH). The electrical resistivity follows the dependence $\rho = \rho_0 \exp \left( \frac{T_0}{T} \right)^{1/4}$ with $k_B T = 171 \alpha^3 U_m \nu$ where $U_m$ is the Hund potential, and $\alpha$ is a localization parameter [161]. It is possible to model the resistivity of the compound above $T_c$ with a VRH dependence using the parameters $T_c^{1/4} = 63$ and $U_m = 0.5 \text{ eV}$ (Fig. 12.13). In the case of the ferromagnetic compound $\text{La}_{0.3} \text{Ca}_{0.7} \text{MnO}_3$, the parameters are $T_c^{1/4} = 90$ and $U_m = 2 \text{ eV}$.

Below the Curie temperature, the drop in resistivity cannot be explained by a simple double exchange model because the measured resistivity is dominated by extrinsic effects (JUSTIFIER!). The previous discussion suggests that the electrical transport is dominated by tunnelling effects across magnetic interfaces. We propose to model the tunnel transport across the magnetic interface using a hopping exchange model [160]. If one considers two $\text{Mn}^{4+}$ ions whose magnetizations make a relative angle $\theta_{ij}$, in a double exchange model the hopping probability is proportional to $(1 - \cos (\theta_{ij}))$ and the localization potential is given by $E_m = \frac{1}{2} U_H (1 - \cos (\theta_{ij}))$.

The measured domain wall profile is rather complex (Fig. 12.9) and the variation of $\theta_{ij}(z)$ across the interface is non monotonous. In order to simplify the problem, we will consider the model Nr. 2. In this model, the magnetization variation is the sharpest between the 2 peaks and this part of the domain wall will dominate the resistivity properties (to the first order) since the hopping process has an exponential dependence upon the magnetic potential. Let $\Delta \theta$ be the angular change of the magnetization from one peak to the other and $a$ the distance between adjacent $\text{Mn}$ ions.

Across the domain wall, the change in magnetization angle between $\text{Mn}^{4+}$ ions can be approximated by $\theta_{ij} = \Delta \theta a / \delta$. The magnetic localization potential is thus $E_m = \frac{1}{2} U_H (1 - \cos (\Delta \theta a / \delta)) \approx \frac{1}{4} U_H (\Delta \theta a / \delta)^2$. The approximation is justified by the fact that
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Figure 12.8.: (a) SANS signal at different temperatures fitted using a reflectivity model. Note that the experimental curves have been resampled and smoothed. (b) Profiles of SLD across the interface in the reflectivity fits.

the angular variations between $Mn$ ions are not too large since the magnetization varies continuously. Following the VRH model, we thus propose that the hopping transport across the interface can be described by the following formula:

$$\rho = \rho_0 \exp \left( T_0 \frac{(\Delta \theta_a/s)^2}{T} \right)^{1/4}$$

In this model, if $\delta$ increases, the resistivity decreases. It corresponds to the resistivity versus temperature dependance. If the magnetization angle between adjacent domains decreases, the resistivity decreases. This corresponds to the negative magneto-resistive effect (see Fig. 12.14).

We have thus used the above model to reproduce the resistivity data measured between the second transition and the Curie temperature. We have considered too effects: (i) a magnetic hopping transport following ?? to account for the drop in resistivity below $T_c$ which arises from the fact that the domain wall width $\delta$ increases when the temperature is decreased; (ii) a VRH behavior above $T_m$ to account for the increasing resistivity at low temperature $\rho_c = \rho'_0 \exp (T_0/T)^{1/4}$. A reasonable modeling of the data could thus be obtained (See Fig. 12.13).

When a magnetic field is applied on the system, the angle difference the domain decreases so that the height of the interfacial step decreases. The magneto-resistivity data are not very sensitive to an applied magnetic field. The coupling angle barely changes (from 180° to 170°) for fields up to 2T. This is also only compatible with an AF coupling at the interface. When a magnetic field is applied, the domain walls are not destroyed, but as soon as the applied field becomes higher than the anisotropy (a few kG), the magnetic moments are aligned. This leads to a decrease of the contrast between the magnetic domains that is a decrease of the $1/Q^4$ signal. However, the interface continues to exist and
12.5. Conclusion

Using SANS we have been to measure the localization and extension of magnetic defects in a magnetic crystal. We show that the disorder at the twin domain boundaries leads to an anti-ferromagnetic coupling of the magnetization between the grains. We have been able to quantitatively model the resistivity of the compound by considering the magnetic hopping through the magnetic domain walls. We think that this is the first report of the direct measurement of the magnetic domain wall structure in a magnetic crystal.
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Figure 12.10.: Characteristic widths of the model as a function of the temperature.

Figure 12.11.: Sketch of the magnetization variation at a domain wall boundary.
12.5. Conclusion

\[
\Delta \theta = 180^\circ
\]

Figure 12.12.: Hopping across a magnetic interface

Figure 12.13.: Modeling of the resistivity
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Figure 12.14.: (a) Magneto-resistance. (b) Effective magnetic form factor at 140K after application of a magnetic field of 0.25T.
Part III.

Instrumentation and software developments
13. Instrumentation developments

During the 1990s and 2000s, the reflectometers have been developed following traditional schemes, either using dispersive $\theta/2\theta$ mode or the Time of Flight technique. Most reflectometers have or will soon converge towards optimized versions in these modes. Further progresses will then be asymptotic since in their present configuration, almost all the phase space is used and thus very little flux gains can be further expected.

Specular reflectivity measurements are now performed in a matter of hours. Typical experimental runs last between a few days to 7 days and allow to gather two dozens of experimental measurements. Shortening the acquisition times on neutron reflectometers would provide several improvements: (i) it could be used to perform more experiments and increase the number of users but this would however have limits since the sample environments are often complex to set-up; (ii) measuring reflectivities up to large $Q$ values in order to probe very thin structures (down to the nm); (iii) a higher flux could be used to study the behavior of materials in-situ, following their properties or conformation as a function of external parameters such as the temperature, the magnetic/electric field, the shear, the pressure, the humidity etc... and possibly to study slow kinetic effects.

During the last years I have been evaluating different technical principles which could provide gains of one to two orders of magnitude in flux on neutron reflectometers.

In a first part I will present the SimulSpectro modeling tool which has been developed to quantitatively evaluate these new instrumental concepts. I will then quickly describe the general principles of the different concepts and conclude with a discussion of the merits of the different proposed solutions.

The developments of these new implementations will be supported in 2008-2012 by a European Research contract “Neutrons Optics” which I am coordinating. This research network gathers most of the European neutron facilities (FRM2, HMI, ILL, JCNS, BNC).

13.1. Monte-Carlo modeling tool

Several neutron Monte-Carlo simulation packages are nowadays available for users: McSTAS [164], Vitess[165], ResTRAX [166]. They are all based on a “pipeline” description of spectrometers. The neutrons are created in a source, then travel through successive optical elements and are eventually detected. This is fine as long as the design of the spectrometer is restricted to standard optical elements. Creating new optical elements requires to write specific C-code. The main drawback is the sequential philosophy which prevents a neutron coming from the optical element 1 and interacting with element 2 to interact back with element 1. This fundamentally prevents the simulation of complex assemblies of mirrors for example (13.1)

Thus in order to perform Monte-Carlo simulations of advanced spectrometer concepts, I have developed a specific 2D Monte-Carlo ray-tracing program. Since this program was to be used for reflectometry problems, it is limited to a 2D geometry. In the SimulSpectro
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(a) Pipeline philosophy. The neutrons travel from one element to the other. (b) Multiple interaction description. The SimulSpectro program calculates what is happening in the bold rectangular box. (c) Very simple case illustrating the limitation of the pipeline philosophy. The solid line trajectory is fine since it goes from element 1 to 2 and then 3, however, the dotted trajectory travels from 1 to 2, back to 1 and then to 2 which cannot be described using method (a).

Figure 13.1.

package, the basic concept which defines optical elements are frontiers. Each optical element is a segment with a front side and a back side separating two mediums with different scattering lengths. A neutron incident on this segment is scattered following the scattering function \( S(Q) \). It is thus possible to use a unified description for a large range of optical elements: slits, reflection mirrors, transmission mirrors, prisms, samples, detector, entrance in a magnetic field region. The core Monte-Carlo code is thus very simple since it is a simple recursive algorithm which at each step figures out which is the next optical element with which the neutron will interact.

The SimulSpectro package provides features not available in other existing programs: refractive optics, graded mirrors, handling of magnetic fields, possibility to design very complex assemblies, scattering function \( S(Q) \) for any optical element. In addition, for specific cases, it is possible to use a time dependent \( S(Q) \) function which can be used in problems involving choppers or more complex time dependent effects. The scattering function \( S(Q) \) simply needs to be loaded either from experimental measurements (reflectivity of supermirrors for example) or from external calculations if the optical element does not yet exist. It is possible to input a 2D \( S(Q) \) function which is usually used to describe off-specular scattering from reflective optics.

The description files are saved as Excel sheets. Complex macro commands can thus be used to generate very complex assemblies of objects (gathering tens of segments). This is for example the case of Clessidra type lenses [167] which can be automatically generated using a properly written Excel macro-command. This permits quick prototyping of complex geometries. The program provides a visual output of the ray-tracing which permits to immediately spot issues either in the model or in the concept. For example, in the case
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In specular reflectivity measurements, the neutron phase space is drastically reduced: the beam is highly collimated and either monochromatic on fixed wavelength spectrometers of the Clessidra lenses, parasitic reflections at the base of the prisms are observed.

The SimulSpectro package is freely available on the Web [168].

I have used it to simulate and evaluate the performances of the different concepts of high flux reflectometers which are presented in the following.

Figure 13.2.: SimulSpectro interface. (upper-left) A table describes the different segments; (down-left) a ray-tracing window shows the neutrons trajectories; (upper-right) 1D plots of the neutron distribution as a function of the position on the detector, the divergence, the wavelength or the time are available, (down-right) 2D plots can display correlations between the previous parameters.

Figure 13.3.: (top) Clessidra lens; (bottom) Monte-Carlo simulation for $\lambda = 0.4$ nm, focal point at 1800 mm. The size of the focal point is 0.5 mm (height of a prism).

13.2. High flux specular reflectometers

In specular reflectivity measurements, the neutron phase space is drastically reduced: the beam is highly collimated and either monochromatic on fixed wavelength spectrometers.
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or chopped on Time-of-flight reflectometers. Both methods lead to an important and similar waste of flux. The reflectivity geometry is however very specific compared to other scattering experiments since the beam is scattered only in a very small volume of the space. This gives an extra degree of freedom which can be used to redesign neutron reflectometers so as to maximize the use of the neutron flux.

There are several ways of using this space so as to improve the use of the neutron flux available at the guides output.

- The first technique consists in using a spin – space encoding. Instead of collimating the neutron beam incident on the sample, the incidence angle is coded by Larmor precession. In principle, a very broad incidence divergence can thus be used leading to significant flux improvements. This technique is often referred to as SERGIS [169]. The principle is very appealing but the implementation is rather complex since it requires the use of spin-echo techniques. It is still under development.

- A second technique consists in performing a time – space encoding. In a traditional ToF experiment, only a single pulse of neutron is used at a time since it is necessary to wait for the whole pulse to have been detected before the next one arrives. I have devised a method in which it is possible to have a large number of neutron pulses coexisting at the same time. I have named this technique TilTOF since it is a tilt modulation of the sample which is used to create the parallel pulses. In this technique it is possible to get rid of the chopper and thus a significant flux increase is achieved.

- The third class of techniques consists in performing an energy – space encoding. The first variant is very simple since it consists in analyzing the neutron wavelength with an energy dispersive device after reflection on the sample. I am proposing two devices: GradTOF based on quadrupolar magnets and EasyRef based on reflective optics. In a second variant the neutron energy is correlated with the incidence angle. I have named this technique ReFocus. It is based on advanced reflective optics. In both variants, no chopper is required and thus very large gains in flux are achieved.

In the following I will briefly describe the different techniques which I have developed and conclude with a discussion on the merits of these different methods.

13.2.1. TilTOF

On a classical ToF reflectometer, the beam is shaped into short pulses which are reflected on the sample, the neutron wavelengths are determined by measuring the time of arrival of the neutrons on the detector. The typical wavelength bandwidth on a continuous neutron source ranges between 0.25 to 2.5 nm. A key constraint is that it is necessary to let the whole pulse arrive on the detector before sending a new one in order to avoid frame overlap, that is mixing slow speed, long wavelengths neutrons from pulse n and fast speed, short wavelength neutrons from pulse n + 1. In practice this limits the repetition rate of the pulses to about 20Hz. The actual fraction of the neutron beam which is sent on the sample is as low as a few percents.

In the TilTOF technique, I propose to remove the chopper and to use a fast periodic tilt modulation of the sample to create the time shaping of the beam (Figure 13.4). At time
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$t_0$, the incidence angle on the sample will be $\theta_0$. The sample reflects a first fraction of the beam on the detector in the direction $2\theta_0$. At the time $t_0 + \Delta t$, with $\Delta t$ being the typical length of a time frame, the incidence angle on the sample is increased to $\theta_0 + \Delta \theta$. The sample reflects the next fraction of the beam on the detector in the direction $2(\theta_0 + \Delta \theta)$. The principle thus consists in sending in parallel different neutron pulses in different spatial directions. This circumvents the problem of the frames overlap.

Figure 13.4: Reflectivity measurements in the TilToF geometry.

The different neutron pulses $P_i$ corresponding to an incidence angle $\theta_i$ are sent onto a Position Sensitive Detector (PSD). In each cell of the detector a time-of-flight analysis is performed which provides the reflectivity of the sample for an incidence angle $\theta_i$. Figure 13.5 presents typical raw data measured on a Cu(30nm)//glass sample. Each horizontal line corresponds to a ToF measurement of the reflected pulse $P_i$ for a given incidence angle $\theta_i$. At the top of the picture, the incidence angles are small and thus the average reflectivity is high, giving rise to a high intensity; at the bottom of the picture, the incidence angles are large, the reflectivity and intensity are lower. The vertical “waves” correspond to the Kiessig fringes in the reflectivity of the Cu layer. The data processing is rather complex but is implemented in the program SpectraProcessor [170]. The following steps must be performed:

- Calibration of the sample angle as a function of the position on the detector.
- Fine time calibration of the tilting trajectory.
- For each of the spatial position on the detector, definition of the initial ToF pulse (represented as a continuous line on figure 13.5).

Then for each pixel in the detector, a reflectivity curve is deduced. These curves are eventually summed up. A more detailed description of the test of the device on the reflectometer EROS can be found in [171]. The practical implementation of the device is however non trivial. Unforeseen complications such as the Doppler effect during reflection are still under investigation.

The whole set-up has been modeled using the SimulSpectro package. Improvements such as the implementation of off-specular noise suppression slits have been modeled (though not implemented yet).

13.2.2. GradTOF

The second concept which has been considered uses an energy – space encoding. The principle is very simple since it consists in analyzing the neutron wavelengths with an
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Figure 13.5.: Raw TilToF data. Only the data of the increasing incidence angle part of the tilt trajectory are presented. The x-axis corresponds to time channels, the y-axis represents the position on the PSD detector. The incidence angles on the sample are increasing from the top to the bottom from a value of $\theta_i = 0.88^\circ$ at pixel $Y = 215$ to $\theta_i = 3.31^\circ$ at pixel $Y = 25$.

energy dispersive device after reflection on the sample (Fig. 13.6). A collimated white neutron beam is sent onto the sample. The reflected beam enters a field gradient region of length $L$ in which the different wavelengths $\lambda$ are deviated by an angle $\alpha$ given by:

$$\alpha = \frac{m \mu dB}{\hbar^2} L \lambda^2$$

After a traveling path of a few meters, the different wavelengths arrive at different positions on the Position Sensitive Detector (PSD). The reflectivity of the sample is thus measured at once on the detector: $R(\lambda) \sim I(z)$. This principle allows to use all incoming neutrons without monochromatization or chopping and thus to gain more than one order of magnitude in the incident neutron flux.

Figure 13.6.: Principle of specular reflectivity measurement using an energy analysis device. A full white beam is sent onto a sample. After reflection, the different wavelengths are spatially spread in an energy analyzer (either a prism or a magnetic quadrupole). The reflectivity signal is measured at once for all wavelengths on a position sensitive detector.

The biggest technical issue is to produce the largest possible field gradient. I have designed a permanent magnets assembly (of Halbach type) which can provide a field
13.2. High flux specular reflectometers

gradient as large as 0.4T/mm with a usable diameter of 12 mm which is compatible with the study of small magnetic samples. The figure 13.7 shows a Monte-Carlo simulation of the set-up and illustrates the spread of the different wavelengths on the detector which would extend over more than 200mm on the detector. The spread is non linear because of the $\lambda^2$ dependence of the deflection. This makes the device very efficient at long wavelengths and far less efficient at short wavelengths (below 0.5nm).

![Figure 13.7. Wavelengths Vs position on the detector. In the experiment, only the projection on the spatial coordinate is measured.](image)

Thus I propose to combine the above setup with a high speed chopper in order to be able to perform classical TOF measurements (at a high repetition rate up to 200Hz) while still being able to use the energy analysis of long wavelengths. On a typical TOF reflectometer, the wavelength band which is used extends from 0.2 to 3 nm, the frame overlap limit being set at 4-5 nm. We propose to modify the disk chopper settings for a wavelength band ranging from 0.2 to 0.6nm. This would allow to gain a factor 7 in flux for the short wavelengths while the long wavelengths (0.6-3nm) would be analyzed using the field gradient device. In such a set-up, there would be no compromise in resolution since a regular TOF operation would be used for short wavelengths and for long wavelengths, existing magnets and detector technology allows to analyze properly wavelengths above 0.6 nm. In the case of the reflectometer EROS, the settings of the double disk chopper would be : resolution $\frac{\delta\lambda}{\lambda} = 10\%$, distance chopper / detector = 10 m, rotation speed 2600 rpm, opening 30 % ; this corresponds to a gain of a factor 6 compared to the present situation on the EROS reflectometer at the LLB.

The issue of off-specular scattering from the sample has been quantitatively assesses in the Monte-Carlo simulations. Figure 13.7 illustrates the signal that would be measured on a system of a thin nickel film of 10 nm with a diffuse scattering signal of the order of $10^{-3}$. As an input, we have taken the flux at the entrance of the EROS reflectometer at the LLB. The contribution of long wavelengths ($\lambda > 1$ nm) is negligible. The contributions at shorter wavelengths are still very small. The signal actually measured is the projection of the 2D plot of figure 13.7 onto the x-axis. The off-specular scattering only amounts to $10^{-3}$ of the specular reflectivity. The intensity integral in box A is about $10^8$ times the flux integral in box B. For typical samples, the off-specular signal is thus not an issue. A more detailed description of the GradTOF setup can be found in [172].
A very similar solution based on the use of a refracting prism has been evaluated by R. Cubitt [173]. Surprisingly, the refraction efficiency of solid prisms and magnetic fields is almost equivalent and thus the above discussion is very similar for the case of refractive prisms.

13.2.3. EASYRef

The first proposed solution for energy – space encoding has limitations since it is intrinsically limited by the maximum field gradients which can be technically achieved. I am thus proposing an optical device which performs the equivalent of an energy analysis on a white neutron beam. A very similar idea was already proposed by C.F. Majkrzak some time ago [174]. The design is based on reflective optics. It combines multi-layer monochromator mirrors and a Position Sensitive Detector (PSD) (Fig. 13.8). Ideally, each monochromator (index i) reflects a wavelength band \( \{\lambda_i - \delta\lambda/2; \lambda_i + \delta\lambda/2\} \). The diffracted beams are spatially spread on the PSD and the wavelength is directly determined by the position on the detector. The reflectivity is thus measured at once for all wavelengths.

Monte-Carlo simulations were performed on this design using SimulSpectro [168]. The monochromators were taken with a realistic reflectivity and a bandwidth of about 7% (Fig. 13.9a). The detector was set at 1500 mm after the device. Figure 13.9b represents the neutron position on the detector (X-axis) as a function of the wavelength (Y-axis). The signal of interest is along the region A. A wavelength can be associated to each position of the detector. Figure 13.9c shows the raw reflectivity signal as measured for a Ni(10nm)//Si film illuminated with the spectrum of the guide G3bis at the LLB at an incidence angle of 4°. The finite size (2 mm) and divergence (0.06°) of the incident beam are taken into account as well as the full reflectivity of the monochromator mirrors, including the total reflection region. In order to retrieve the actual reflectivity of the sample, it is necessary to divide the raw spectrum by the white incident spectrum. Experimentally, this signal is measured by sending the white beam through the device. After that, a wavelength must be attributed to each peak, which in practice can be calibrated by ToF.

Figure 13.10 compares the measured reflectivity in a classical ToF measurement, a
raw integration of the Figure 13.9c data, an integration after having filtered the parasitic neutrons from the region B on Figure 13.9b which spoil the signal of the short wavelength neutrons. These parasitic neutrons come from the fact that (i) the monochromators are not perfectly reflecting, (ii) that the overlap between the monochromators bands is not perfect, (iii) that there are some total reflections below the Si critical edge. In practice, the filtering of these long wavelengths neutrons can be performed with a simple nickel mirror deposited on silicon. Without long wavelength filtering, the signal is spoiled above $Q = 3\,\text{nm}^{-1}$. And the lowest measurable reflectivity is a few $10^{-6}$. If long wavelength neutrons are filtered out, signals down to a few $10^{-7}$ could be measured. Surprisingly, the oscillations in the EASYREF setup are more pronounced than in the classical ToF measurement. This is due to the fact that in the case of the EASYREF setup, the 7% resolution distribution function is almost square. In the case of the ToF measurement, the resolution was taken as a Gaussian.

A detailed technical description of the device can be found in [175].

A similar concept in being proposed at NIST [177].

### 13.2.4. REFocus

The last concept is using a correlation between the neutron energy and the incidence angle. The principle of the ReFOCUS technique is presented on 13.11. Let’s consider a divergent neutron point source, typically a slit at the exit of a guide. The sample can be considered as a point source. We propose to implement a graded multilayer monochromator with an elliptical shape. The elliptical profile ensures that the optical device has two foci so that the source is imaged on the sample. All neutrons exiting the point source are reflected at the sample position. In order to correlate the position and the wavelength, the elliptical mirror is coated with a multilayer monochromator whose periodicity varies along the elliptical mirror.

The elliptical focusing device may be used in different configurations to perform reflectivity measurements (Fig. 13.12). Mode 1 can be seen as derived from the monochromatic operation mode. The system is set-up so that for each sample position one operates at a fixed scattering wave-vector. Short wavelengths are incident on the sample with a small angle, long wavelengths are incident with a large angle so that the scattering wave-vector $Q = 4\pi\theta/\lambda$ is kept constant. In order to scan the reciprocal space, the sample angle is varied. In this mode, it is thus possible to use the full white beam while keeping a good resolution in $Q$ space $\delta Q = 4\pi\delta\theta/\lambda$. The $\delta Q$ and $\delta\theta$ parameters are defined by the focusing device construction. In this configuration, the REFocus setup can be compared to a monochromatic spectrometer. But in practice, a typical monochromatic spectrometer operates at 4Å with a wavelength resolution of 2%, which corresponds to a bandwidth of 0.08Å. With the ReFOCUS geometry, it is possible to use the full white beam which corresponds to a gain in flux of 50 to 80 (depending on the guide system). This mode is very efficient in terms of used neutrons. It is also very simple to operate since the integrated flux on the PSD directly corresponds to the reflectivity. However, it requires scanning the sample angle.

In Mode 2, short wavelengths are incident with a large angle, long wavelengths are incident with a small angle. In this configuration, since long wavelengths are incident with a smaller angle, the reflectivity of the sample is higher and the wavelengths are more...
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efficiently reflected. This mode is close to the ToF mode where the shape of the reflectivity curve inversely matches the shape of the incident spectrum. In this geometry, the total reflectivity of the sample can be measured at once without moving the sample. In Mode 3, short wavelengths are incident with a large angle, long wavelengths are incident with a small angle. This permits to optimize the use of neutrons with respect to the reflectivity curve. In Mode 4, short wavelengths are incident with a small angle, long wavelengths are incident with a larger angle. This mode is close to the Mode 1. It is possible to work at a constant Q value. Since the incidence angle angular range is small, it is necessary to scan the sample position.

Monte-Carlo modeling has been performed for Mode 2. We optimized the ellipse grading using a quadratic dependence for $\lambda(X)$. The wavelength varies between 0.35 and 2.5nm along the ellipse. The sample angle was set at 6°. Figure 12 shows the distribution of the different wavelength on the detector. The calculation has been performed by considering a perfectly reflecting sample. For a given position on the detector the wavelength resolution is of the order of 5-10%.

Figure 13.13 shows the distribution of the intensity after reflection on a Ni(10nm)/Si sample. In the most realistic situation (Figure 13b; black line), one can observed that it is possible to resolve the Kiessig fringes up to the 4th order which corresponds to reflectivities of $10^{-6}$. The intensity on the detector is rather smoothly spread (only 2 orders of magnitude of variations). This is very important to ensure that the data on one part of the detector are not polluted by some very intense scattering on another part of the detector.

The proposed design combines several advantages. It does not require any complex mechanics. It is simple and cheap. It can be implemented rather easily on existing spectrometers. It is a general purpose set-up which can be used in any experimental situation: small samples, very large samples, magnetic samples contrary to other solutions [1-4]. It may even be used for liquid samples in Mode 2, if the whole focusing ellipse can be tilted. Improvements We have presented a design which is using a 3m elliptical focusing optics coated with $3\theta c$ monochromators. This choice was made to demonstrate that existing technology is advanced enough to implement the concept right now and that it can be readily tested on existing spectrometers provided there is about 10-12m of free space after a guide end. However, it is easily possible to improve the design by considering larger focusing optics. By doubling the size of the device, the solid angle will also be doubled and thus the flux multiplied by a factor 2. By using $4\theta c$ or $5\theta c$ monochromators, the solid angle can again be increased for short wavelengths. An extra flux gain would again be obtained. Other designs can be derived from the REFocus principle. Instead of using a graded monochromator coating on the ellipse, it is possible to associate a focusing ellipse coated with super-mirrors and couple this device with a chopper in order to perform ToF measurements with continuously varying incidence angles. In theory the performances should be close to the REFocus design. This topic would require a separate discussion.

The presented design could significantly increase the use of the neutrons available in the guides and thus to reach gains in flux of the order of 20 with respect to existing reflectometers. This would permit to perform experiments faster and on smaller samples. Such a spectrometer would provide performances which are equivalent or even better than the reflectometers that are being implemented on the new spallation sources. We
think that all the necessary technology is already available and very little instrumental
development is required. The cost of such an instrument would also be very low and
provided there is enough space at a guide end, it can easily be implemented on any
continuous source.

A detailed technical description of the device can be found in [176].
A similar concept in being proposed at NIST [178].

13.3. Merit of the different technical solutions

In the field of neutron instrumentation, one should always keep in mind that eventually
a non expert user should be able to handle the spectrometer. Presently it is not clear
which technical solution will provide both performances and flexibility such that a “ca-
sual” user can take benefit of it. The different solutions which have been proposed above
have the advantage that they can in principle be implemented on any existing ToF reflect-
tometer operating on a continuous neutron source. They however strongly differ in their
implementations.

**TILTOF:** The required equipment is very limited and costless (only a few k€ are required
for the mechanical tilt system). Most of the investment has to go into software and
expertise. This solution is however rather difficult to hand over to a non expert user
since it requires complex calibration and data processing (which is at the moment
very far from transparent for the user). Moreover, since the sample is moving, it
prevents the use of a wide range of standard ancillary equipments (no cryostat, no
furnace, no humidity cell, no solid-liquid interfaces, no Langmuir cell, no free liquid
surfaces). This means that it is restricted to very standard measurements at room
temperature. The gain in flux cannot thus be used to perform new, more complex
experiments. In my opinion this solution is not general purpose and does not suit
the needs of users.

**GradTOF:** This solution is appealing since it only requires the insertion of the device
after the sample on any existing spectrometer. No material is introduced in the
beam. The data acquisition is almost unchanged and the data processing is hardly
more complex than usual (for example when gravity effects are taken into account).
The solution is very flexible since the device can very easily be switched in or out.
However there are technical limitations in the maximum field gradient which can
be achieved over a reasonable cross section. This makes the device only suitable
for small samples (of the order of 1cm²). This however corresponds to the typical
magnetic thin films substrate sizes. The extra advantage being that the device
performs a perfect spin analysis. I would say that the device is almost perfectly
suited for the study of magnetic thin films.
A similar solution has been proposed using refractive prisms and should provide
very similar performances. It is in principle not limited in cross-section but does
not provide polarization analysis.

**EASYREF:** This solution is very appealing by its simplicity of use. The data processing
should be straightforward since the user will simply have to switch the beam on and
off and will immediately get the reflectivity curve. It has however not been tested
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in practice. Several technical issues may appear but the rapid progress of neutron reflective optics are such that I can hardly imagine anything which could prevent the device to eventually be implemented. One of the drawback is that some material is put in the beam after the sample which generates some absorption. However I am confident that usable devices will be fabricated and implemented in the short term.

**REFOCUS:** This solution departs rather radically from the usual way of operating a neutron reflectometer. It has the highest potential to increase the flux on neutron reflectometers by up to 2 order of magnitude. However, extensive developments in neutron optics are still required. I think that this solution might be implemented in the medium term since it will require to gather a significant amount of expertise to learn how to use such a spectrometer.

**SERGIS:** Solutions based on spin encoding are by far the most innovative. They offer the biggest potential of improvement in neutron instrumentation. However, they are also the most complex to set-up. Presently a number of groups around the world are evaluating the technique and gathering expertise in the operation of spin-echo based reflectometers. I am convinced that these efforts will eventually provide significant results. The technique will however always have strong limitations in the field of magnetic scattering which represents a significant domain of applications in reflectometry. This technique also requires to develop a specific spectrometer.

In the future, I will personally focus my work on the *EASYREF* and *REFOCUS* techniques and demonstrate their usability. The cost of these solutions is rather limited and generally represent only a tiny fraction of the cost of a whole spectrometer.

The gains in flux that will be achieved will be used to either:

- perform ultra-fast reflectivity measurements (within seconds) or kinetic experiments
- extend the measurable Q range and thus extends the characterization thinner layers (down to the nm)
- perform in-situ measurements in a reasonable time.

The proposed spectrometers would use a large fraction of the neutron flux available in the guides and since the average neutron flux is still 10 to 100 times higher on nuclear reactors compared to spallation sources (long pulse or short pulse), they would provide performances which are equivalent or even better than the reflectometers that are being implemented on the new spallation sources (such as JPARC or SNS).
13.3. Merit of the different technical solutions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Til'TOF</th>
<th>Grad'TOF</th>
<th>EASYREF</th>
<th>REFOCUS</th>
<th>SERGIS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>refractive prism</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complexity</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Simple</td>
<td>Very simple</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data acquisition</td>
<td>Difficult</td>
<td>Simple</td>
<td>Simple</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data processing</td>
<td>Difficult</td>
<td>Simple</td>
<td>Simple</td>
<td>Simple</td>
<td>Complex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Efficiency</td>
<td>x10</td>
<td>x7</td>
<td>x20</td>
<td>x50</td>
<td>x??</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flexibility</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Low (no magnetic samples)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(small sample)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost</td>
<td>€5000</td>
<td>€20000</td>
<td>€20000</td>
<td>€40000</td>
<td>€10000-€30000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 13.1.: Merit of the different solutions.
Figure 13.9: (a) Reflectivity of the monochromator used for the simulations. (b) $\lambda$ vs. position on the detector. (c) Raw reflectivity signal as measured on the detector.
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Figure 13.10: Reflectivity on a Ni(10nm)//Si sample. (solid line) Classical ToF measurement, (diamond) after integration of the signal of Figure 13.9c, (square) after having filtered out the parasitic long wavelength neutrons. General principle of the ReFOCUS design; (short to long dashes) short to long wavelengths.

Figure 13.11: General principle of the ReFOCUS design; (short to long dashes) short to long wavelengths.
Figure 13.12.: *The different operation modes to perform reflectivity measurements.*
Figure 13.13: Reflectivity on a Ni(10nm)//Si sample. (a) Reflected intensity Vs the wavelength and the position on the PSD. (b) Total intensity as measured on the detector: (lines) Signal with a flat input spectrum (with an input slit of 2mm (solid line) and 4mm (dotted line)); (squares) Signal with a real input spectrum (G3bis) and an input slit of 2mm.
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14. **Software developments**

In parallel with instrumentation developments it is necessary to propose advanced data acquisition tools, data processing tools and modeling tools. I have developed different software packages, *SpectroDriver*, *SpectraProcessor*, *SimulReflec* which are aimed at these respective tasks. These programs share a common user interface for basic tasks (1D, 2D, 3D, 4D plotting, Input/Outputs, scripting capabilities..). The different packages simply depart by the fact that they each provide some specialized modules for some specific tasks (data acquisition, data processing and fitting, reflectivity fitting).

I will quickly browse through the functionalities of these different programs.

14.1. **SpectroDriver**

This piece of software was the original starting point of the different projects and is developed together with Claude Fermon (IRAMIS/SPEC).

The software offers the possibility to control a very wide panel of devices. It has been designed in a modular way so that it is being used to drive neutron reflectometers, a SANS spectrometer, an X-ray reflectometer, two Kerr effect set-ups, an NMR spectrometer, different resistivity benches, a detector test bench.

In the case of neutron scattering, it can be interfaced with any type of detector and allows to perform acquisition on a PSD detector in time of flight mode (4D mode). It provides advanced scripting facilities which enable very complex acquisition sequences to be performed.

14.2. **SpectraProcessor**

This program provides 1D, 2D, 3D data processing and visualization. It is mostly used to process 2D data sets (usually measured on SANS spectrometers). It provides advanced grouping and masking functionalities. It provides a 2D fitting module which may be used for magnetic SANS or GISANS data fitting. The *SpectraProcessor* package is freely available on the Web [170].

14.3. **SimulReflec**

This program is an essential piece of software to model reflectivity data. It was originally intended to process polarized neutron reflectivity data but has been upgraded with various additional modules. Its features are:

- Fitting of X-ray reflectivity data
- Fitting of magnetic reflectivity in the most general situations (including Zeeman effects and non collinear configurations)
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Figure 14.1.: SpectroDriver interface, a wide range of graphical windows are available. (upper-left) 1D plot of the acquisition scans; (bottom-left) Control of the motors of the spectrometer; (top-right) 2D plot measured on a PSD; (bottom right) scripting window.

- Calculation of magnetic off-specular data (via the SDMS module of magnetic off-specular scattering written by E. Kentzinger based on the formalism developed by B. Toperverg.)
  The program provides a user friendly interface so as to be very easy to use for casual experimentalists.

The SimulReflec package is freely available on the Web [179].
Figure 14.2.: SpectraProcessor interface. (upper-left) 1D plot of the acquisition scans; (bottom-left) Fitting/simulation windows; (top-right) 2D plot measured on a PSD; (bottom right) scripting window.
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Figure 14.3.: SimulReflec interface. (upper-left) Reflectivity calculation and spin-asymmetry. (bottom) Description of the multilayer system. (upper-right) 2D off-specular scattering.
15. Perspectives

15.1. Physics of magnetic nanostructures for the next decade

During these last two decades, polarized neutron reflectometry has proved to be a useful tool for the topics discussed above. In the early studies of magnetic superlattices, new types of magnetic orders were directly and unambiguously probed. Since then it has systematically been used for the study of magnetic thin films heterostructures. It is even used to characterize industrial systems. It is now complemented by new tools using the magnetic sensitivity of X-rays: magnetic X-ray diffraction or reflectivity and X-ray dichroism.

The study of the magnetic structures in crystal at the nanometric scale is just starting. The study of manganese oxide compounds have shown that the physics of these systems is defined not only by the properties at the atomic scale but also at the nanometric scale as illustrated by the problems of the magnetic phase separation. As soon as the physics of these compounds will be understood it is likely that many more studies will be carried out. Presently, a significant number of studies probe the long range helical order in magneto-electric compounds (such as MnSi, BiFeO$_3$). In this field, SANS scattering can bring a significant input. In a general way, probing non homogeneous magnetic structures (non collinear, helical or chiral) will become more common. This will however require developing new tools for processing the data.

In the more traditionnal field of “nanoparticles”, the novelty will be the study of more complex objects than the spherical objects studied up to now. This included not only, nanowire or more complex shaped objects but also composite materials prepared with these objects and whose properties depend on the detailed way these particles are assembled.

It makes little sense to think further ahead since the “hot” topics for beyond the next decade are perfectly unknown.

15.2. Instrumentation for surfaces and nanostructures studies

As a whole, neutron scattering techniques are making steady progress. The instruments efficiency has been increasing by about one order of magnitude per decade during the last 30 years. This may however look as a slow pace compared to other techniques such as X-rays scattering. Nevertheless technical progress are such that this rhythm is likely to be sustained at least for another two decades. We are presently designing the instruments for the next decade and dreaming about the instruments for 2020.
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I will discuss in more details the present status and the evolution in instrumentation as I see it for the next decade. I shall also discuss more remote possibilities.

15.2.1. Present status and medium term evolution

Neutron scattering on thin films suffers from the weak interaction of neutrons with matter but specular neutron reflectometry is very competitive with other reflectivity techniques especially when polymer or magnetic materials are involved. Neutrons allow to use selective labeling via deuteration. The neutron interaction with the magnetism is very large and this compensates for the low incidence flux. Quantitative measurements are much easier to perform.

Gains in flux will be achieved so as to be able to measure reflectivities down to $10^{-8}$ within hours. However in practice, most specular reflectivity experiments will require only to measure reflectivities down to $10^{-6}-10^{-7}$, both because of the incoherent scattering and the intrinsic roughness of most samples. This means that it will be possible to perform reflectivity measurements in a matter of minutes. Most of the effort will thus be put on providing advanced and complex sample environments, especially to perform in-situ measurements for which neutrons are perfectly suited since they are barely absorbed by any surrounding sample environment.

The case of off-specular scattering is less clear-cut. Presently the measurements of off-specular scattering are limited to very rough systems or to multilayer systems because of the low flux issue. The key problem is that even a gain of a factor 100 in flux might be insufficient to alleviate this limitation. On top of that, the technique suffers at the moment from complex data analysis and processing (especially for magnetic and Time of flight measurements). Because the flux is so limited, usually only a very narrow Q-range in the reciprocal space can be explored meaning that only rather long correlation lengths (above $1\mu m$) can be probed. The question arises if neutron scattering is an appropriate technique for such studies (except for very specific problems).

At the moment, it is rather clear that the popular field of “nanosciences” is interested in sizes rather well below 100nm. In this range of sizes, one may want to consider GISANS techniques. Again, one faces the issue of a rather low flux which limits the studies again to either rather well defined objects (well defined objects sitting on a surface) or multilayers. On top of that, the smaller the objects get, the less efficient the GISANS technique is. Direct geometry scattering using VSANS might eventually prove be a good alternative. A direct scattering geometry provides the basic advantage that it is very easy to set-up the experiment; no alignments are required. Present developments are implemented multibeam techniques on SANS instruments: VSANS at HMI, MSANS at FRM2, TPA at the LLB which might eventually use 400 beams in parallel, providing an equivalent gain in flux. Similar developments have been implemented for the GISANS technique (REFSANS at FRM2) but since it is a planar geometry, the number of beams is much more limited than in a 2D geometry.

I am very enthusiastic about the developments using Larmor precession encoding. However, it is yet not clear yet what will be the outcome but the feeling is that there is a clear potential in the technique either using SESANS or MIEZE configurations.
15.2.2. Other surface related techniques around neutron sources

For the sake of completeness I shall mention that around neutron sources other types of secondary particles are generated which can be used for the study of thin films or magnetic structures. Muon facilities are installed around both European spallation sources (PSI and ISIS). A number of experiments have been performed on thin films [180].

At the new reactor FRM2 in Münich, a positron source has been installed which is about 1000 brighter than previous positron source. The operation of the NEPOMUC spectrometer is just starting but it it likely that it will open possibilities for new types of experiments [181].

15.2.3. Long term - futuristic opportunities

Neutron reactors have reach their technical limits and further gains in flux could only be limited. Neutron reactors are somewhat out of fashion and the new projects of neutrons sources are based on the spallation principle. Nevertheless, neutrons reactors could still have a role to play since the integrated flux will always be higher than on spallation sources. One needs to use the neutrons in a more efficient way. The spin-echo instruments are a very good example of an instrumentation development which allowed to use a very broad spectrum and maximize the use of the neutron beam. If neutron energy analysis could be performed in a detector, without the use of the time of flight technique, this would revolutionize all neutron scattering techniques. This possibility is not unrealistic, either using magnetic precession techniques [Kampman] or optical techniques.

One could mention that during the last decade, laboratory X-ray sources have made tremendous progress. Micro-focus X-ray sources have been developed which provide very high flux, coherent x-ray sources to the labs. Advanced optics such as Göbel optics have multiplied the flux on lab x-ray spectrometers by 100. This allows to perform experiments which were confined to big synchrotron facilities in small lab. Extremely powerful techniques have been made routinely available to any research lab.

In my opinion it is perfectly realistic to consider that a similar evolution could happen in the field of neutron scattering. At the moment there are about 40 reflectometers available around the whole world. The new spallation source SNS will provide only 2 extra reflectometers which will be of course be restricted to very selected experiments. The present situation prevents neutron reflectometry to be a routine characterization technique. However, it seems possible that in the near future it might be possible to design very compact neutron sources with a flux comparable to the present one. The present state of big facilities around big reactor is somewhat an heritage from the past since physicists installed their equipment around existing reactors which were not design from scratch to perform very well designed experiments. There were built as “big neutron” source with no overall plan with the instruments in mind.

Instead of thinking “big”, the next big step is likely to take place in thinking “small”. A very small continuous neutron source producing a point source but coupled with advanced optics is likely to provide a neutron flux at the sample position equivalent to what is achieved nowadays on big sources. A cold source would allow to bring optical elements within millimeters of the source (because it would remain very cold) rather than tens of centimeters. The gain in terms of neutrons which could be brought to the sample would be tremendous. Once one has a “cheap” neutron source, one needs instruments. Neutron
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Reflectometers would be among the first instruments which would be built since they are among the cheapest ones. This road has the potential to change the neutron scattering technique from a niche technique to a mainstream technique. Working with small sources would also make safety issues far less of an issue.

Another possible improvement could be built cheap very cold neutron sources. These neutrons would be very easy to handle and would open lots of new possibilities. They would be especially suited for the study of surfaces. The field of very cold neutron sources is making steady progress but is still very specialized.

The number of available probes for the matter has not increased in numbers for more than a century (light, X-ray, electrons, neutron, muons are not new at all). Most of progress have been made in their use. It is clear that laser sources, synchrotron sources, new electron sources have made breakthroughs during the last decades but neutrons sources are still in their infancy (compared to light and x-rays) and I do not see why it should not be the time for neutrons to benefit from technical breakthroughs. I think that all ingredients are gathered. Neutron could then become a routine tool for matter characterization.

15.3. My projects

15.3.1. Scientific context

The neutron scattering techniques have been used for about 15 years for the study of magnetic nanostructures. In particular, the polarized neutron reflectivity is routinely used in “specular mode” for the study of magnetic thin films. The processing of the experimental data is rather easy for the users of the technique. Micrometric magnetic structures can be studied in thin films by using “off-specular” scattering. The measurements are rather easy to perform but the technique suffers from a low flux and simple techniques for the experimental data processing. This limits its use to advanced users only. For the study of nanostructures in thin films, it is possible to consider the GISANS technique, but there too, the limited flux and the difficulty of processing quantitatively the data limits the use of the technique. In the case of bulk crystals, it is possible to use the SANS technique for the study of magnetic nanostructures. At the moment however, mostly nanoparticles systems have been studied. The potential of the neutron scattering technique is presently only partially used for the study of magnetic nanostructures, both for technical and methodology reasons.

15.3.2. Objectives

My objective is to use the maximum potential out of the neutron scattering techniques for the study of magnetic nanostructures. This project covers 3 aspects.

(i) Physics: the possibility of the technique is demonstrated on several systems in which the physics is only partially understood

(ii) Methodology: advanced data processing tools are developed with the aim to make them available to the different users of neutron scattering instruments.

(iii) Instrumentation: the performances of the spectrometers are improved so as to turn today complex experiments into routine experiments.
15.3.3. Methodology

The methodology which will be developed will consist in demonstrating the potential of the different techniques in canonical cases.

*Specular reflectivity* is used routinely. The technique can nevertheless take a new step by being subject to a systematic validation of its reliability. This is an essential step if it is to be used for routine characterization applications. In this frame, I am trying to organize a Round Robin on reference samples to demonstrate the reproducibility of the results between the different neutron spectrometers available across the world (40).

*Off-specular scattering*. In the case of off-specular reflectivity and grazing incidence small angle neutron scattering, a study of the exchange coupling in $FeMe/FeF_2$ is in progress.

*Small angle neutron scattering*. The study which will serve as a reference will be the one on magnetic nanowires. This study has started in collaboration with the INSA Toulouse and ITODYS Univ. Paris VII. The study of the nanomagnetic structure in manganites crystals will also continue.

*Methodology and development of data processing tools*. I already possess a strong experience in the writing of data processing and modeling tools (http://www-llb.cea.fr/prism/programs/programs.html). These programs will evolve and be adapted to the processing of the experimental studies presented above.

*New instrumental concepts*. The experimental work will permit to define the limits of the present instrumentation and to define the new needs so that the scattering techniques can be applied in a routine way to new systems.

15.3.4. Implementation

The different experimental studies have started and the objective is to finalize them within 2 years. In the field of off-specular scattering, a “turn-key” program should be delivered to the users in 2009. The technical part of the experimental data processing of small angle neutron scattering data is implemented in the program « SpectraProcessor ». During the period 2008-2010, with the help of a post-doc student, we will finalize the SANS data processing programs in the case of anisotropic nano-objects. During the period 2009-2013, in the frame of the European project “Neutron optics”, different types of new concepts for high flux neutron reflectometers will be developed. This work will be performed in collaboration with other European neutron centers, FRM2 (TU Münich), ILL (Grenoble), HMI (Berlin), PSI (Villigen, Suisse). At the end of this project, a few concepts will emerge. We will take benefit of the CAP2015 upgrade to implement the concept which fits most of our needs on the EROS reflectometer.

15.3.5. Long term projects

Beyond the techniques which have been presented in the previous chapters, it is not easy to foresee if any new technique that may emerge in the near future.

I personally think that inelastic scattering studies will always be rather limited because they have to compete with other much more sensitive techniques (Brillouin Light Scattering, Ferromagnetic Resonance). Nevertheless, it is possible than new techniques such as
MIEZE will provide a significant technical improvement that make inelastic measurements possible on small amounts of material.

In my opinion, imaging techniques is the field which is most likely to make significant technical progress. “Imaging” should be understood in a broad sense, that is measurements performed in the direct space and not in the reciprocal space. A first example has recently been published [182] which shows the possibility of imaging the magnetic flux lines. The spatial resolution is in the range of a fraction of millimeter in this first experiment. However, owing to the rapid progress in neutron optics, the spatial resolution might very quickly drop to much smaller length-scales. Long wavelengths neutrons could be combined with efficient neutron lens systems.

I am also presently trying to perform 1D imaging of magnetic structures in thin films using neutron reflection. It could allow the direct characterization of the profile of a magnetic domain wall for example. This comes along the line of the precession techniques which are being implemented in various fields of neutron scattering techniques.
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Annexes
Annexe IV: SANS versus GISANS. Figure of merit.

In this annexe, I discuss the respective merits of performing either SANS of GISANS experiments. In the field of X-rays, the question is not relevant since x-rays cannot go through the sample. Thus in the case on thin films structure, it is always necessary to use a Grazing incidence geometry. In the case of neutrons, the substrate is usually not an issue especially when it is a silicon substrate which is perfectly transparent for neutrons. Thus the question which arises is: what is the most efficient way of performing a small angle experiment on a thin film system? In the direct SANS geometry more efficient or does the specific GISANS geometry provide extra gains in flux?

The first key consideration is the flux incident on the sample for the different configurations SANS and GISANS. In SANS, the illuminated area of the sample can get bigger as the objects to be studied are smaller since the resolution can be relaxed. For large objects, the angular resolution needs to be good enough and only a fraction of the sample surface can be used. The illuminating flux is thus inversely proportional to the square of the objects sizes.

If one considers objects of a typical size $\xi$, the typical resolution should be of the order of $\delta Q = \frac{1}{10} \frac{2\pi}{\xi}$. The angular divergence is related to the wavevector resolution by $\delta Q \sim \frac{4\pi}{\lambda} \delta \theta$. Thus the angular resolution should be of the order of $\delta \theta = \frac{1}{2\times10} \frac{\lambda}{\xi}$. For a collimation length $L$, and pin-hole diameters $d$, the divergence is $\delta \theta = 2d/L$. Thus the maximum pin-hole size that can be used is $d = \frac{\delta \theta L}{2} = \frac{1}{4\times10} \frac{\lambda L}{\xi}$. For a typical instrumental configuration using neutrons of wavelength $\lambda = 1$ nm, a collimation distance of $L = 10$ m, a collimation of diameter 50 mm can only be used for objects of typical size smaller than 5 nm.

In the case of a direct SANS geometry, the neutron flux is proportional to the pin-hole collimation surfaces $\phi \propto \left( \pi \left( \frac{d}{2} \right)^2 \right)^2$.

In the case of a GISANS geometry, in the direction of the SANS scattering (perpendicular to the incidence plane), the collimation can have a size $d_y = \frac{\delta \theta L}{2} = \frac{1}{4\times10} \frac{\lambda L}{\xi}$ as before. In the plane of incidence, in the reflection geometry, the angular resolution must be of the order of a fraction of the critical reflection angle. A value of $\delta \theta_x = 0.06^\circ$ would be typical for a wavelength of $\lambda = 1$ nm since in this case the critical edge ranges from 0.4\textdegree for Silicon to 1\textdegree for Nickel. Thus the collimation in the incidence plane is $d_x = \frac{\delta \theta_x L}{2}$. The flux in a GISANS geometry is thus given by $\phi \propto (d_y \times 0.005) \cdot (d_x \times 0.05 \sin(\theta_c))$. The GISANS technique benefit from a large interaction with the sample which gives an enhancement of a factor 10 of the scattered intensity. The Figure 15.1 compares the flux incident on the sample in the two geometries (SANS and GISANS). The flux is weighted by a factor 10 in the case of the GISANS. One can see that for objects with a size larger than 50 nm, it is beneficial to use a GISANS geometry. For small objects with sizes smaller that 20 nm, a direct SANS geometry should be used.

Note that these calculations have been made for a fixed spectrometer configuration. In
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Figure 15.1.: Figure of merit of the 2 geometries (SANS and GISANS) as a function of the typical size of the objects that are being probed.

In the case of small objects, the resolution can be relaxed so that shorter wavelengths can be used (for the same configuration). The flux is thus significantly increased. However, in the case of the GISANS geometry, the critical edge is proportional to the wavelength so that when the wavelength is decreased, the incidence angle on the sample must be decreased so that the fraction of the beam which is intercepted by the sample is also reduced.

Besides flux considerations, other advantages of disadvantages should be mentioned for the different geometries. In the case of the GISANS geometry, the sample alignment is non-trivial and is usually very temperature sensitive. Among the advantages of the GISANS technique we can mention that it is possible to achieve a depth sensitivity. It is also possible to study solid-liquid interfaces without being disturbed by the solvent by using a transmission geometry through the substrate.

In the case of the SANS geometry, no sample alignment is required which is important for temperature dependence studies. The data processing is easier. There are extra degrees of freedom since the sample can be rotated so as to probe different directions in the reciprocal space. Large samples are not required.

In a more general way, what can be the motivations for using the GISANS technique? The first one is that direct space imaging techniques such as AFM or MFM have a limited spatial resolution. The AFM or MFM techniques are also not quantitative whereas neutrons can provide values of the contrast corresponding to scattering length densities. AFM/MFM technique may also be perturbative techniques.

GISANS can also provide in situ measurements at solid-liquid interfaces, under high magnetic fields at low temperatures. In some cases, it is possible to achieve a depth sensitivity.

One should also mention that the advent of new techniques such as multibeam techniques are likely to increase the flux on SANS spectrometer by large amounts (x20-100) so that experiments which are presently very time consuming will become routine experiments in the near future.
Annexe V: Grazing Incidence Diffraction in Laue geometry

Probing the magnetic structure of very thin films (thickness smaller than 10 nm) can be difficult because of the tiny amount of matter. For a 1 cm$^2$ sample it corresponds to around 10 $\mu$g of magnetic material. A way of increasing the diffraction signal is to work in a grazing incidence geometry. If we consider a neutron wave incident on a surface with an angle $\theta_i$ lower than the total reflection angle $\theta_c$, the neutron wave-function does not penetrate into the sample but travels as an evanescent wave along the surface and remains localized over a depth of around 100 – 400 Å (see Figure 15.2). This effect strongly increases the interaction of the neutron with the surface. This evanescent neutron wave can be scattered by Bragg planes perpendicular to the surface. Work in this field has been performed on the spectrometer EVA at the Institut Laue–Langevin [204, 205, 206, 207].

Figure 15.2.: A neutron wave incident on a surface with an angle $\theta_i$ lower than the total reflection angle $\theta_c$, does not penetrate into the sample but travels as an evanescent wave along the surface and remains localized over a depth of around 100 – 400 Å.

The diffracted wave exits the sample surface with an angle $\theta_f$, equal to $\theta_i$ (see Fig. ??). There are however other contributions that can be observed. A fraction of the beam can be refracted at the sample surface and give a transmitted refracted diffraction signal. Since the sample cross section is very small (a few tens of $\mu$m), a part of the incident beam enters the side of the sample and gives a bulk contribution. All these different contributions emerge at different angles with respect to the sample surface. In real experiments it is thus essential to use a Position Sensitive detector to analyze these different contributions.

It is very difficult to set-up a GID experiment on a monochromatic spectrometer since the alignments are complex and the measured signal are very weak. With T.-D. Doan, we have proposed a new design for a neutron grazing incidence diffractometer based on a Laue-type configuration. For our grazing incidence diffraction (GID) experiments, we have used the reflectometer EROS at the LLB. A schematic of the experimental setup is shown in Figure 15.3. The sample is mounted horizontally on a goniometric table, very
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accurately aligned by the standard reflectivity procedure using the single detector placed in front of the beam. This angular alignment is crucial to perform GID measurements.
The chopper is removed; thus the sample is illuminated with the full guide spectrum (Laue configuration). A 2D position-sensitive detector (PSD; 200 × 100 mm²), having a 0.16° angular resolution, can be set at a 2φ angle (ranging from 110° to 140°) in the horizontal plane. The sample is then rotated accordingly into a Bragg diffraction condition.

According to Bragg’s law, \( \lambda = 2d_{hkil}\sin\phi \), the useful wavelength is selected by the \( d_{hkil} \) of the material. The alignment of the sample is performed using the Laue configuration and then the diffracted wavelengths are identified in a Time of Flight measurement.

The advantages of this setup compared to a monochromatic set-up are the following:

- The detector position, 2φ, can be adjusted so that the diffracted beam corresponds to the wavelength peak of the guide spectrum.
- There is no limitation due to \( \Delta\lambda/\lambda \); all possible neutrons are used for the diffraction.
- No precise adjustment of the sample angle \( \phi \) (angle between the Bragg planes and the beam direction), is necessary because the 2D PSD provides a detection angle of 5° in the equatorial plane.

With the wavelength spectrum on EROS having a maximum around 4Å and the PSD placed at 120°, one can investigate in-plane parameters around 2.3Å. To test the efficiency of the experimental setup, several materials were probed: single crystals of \( SrTiO_3 \), \( LaAlO_3 \), \( Al_2O_3 \), \( MgO \) and \( Co \).

Figure 15.3.: Surface diffraction setup in a Laue configuration. After the diffraction on the sample surface, several contributions can be observed. A reflected wave (R), a refracted wave (T) and a transmitted wave (B). Their all emerge at different angles with respect to the sample surface.

Figure 15.5 shows data obtained on a manganese oxide \( La_{0.7}Sr_{0.3}MnO_3 \) thin film deposited on a single-crystal \( SrTiO_3 \) substrate. The surface of the film is 1 cm² and its thickness is 20 nm. The thin film in-plane (1 0 0) mosaicity is 0.03° as measured by X-ray diffraction. The \( La_{0.7}Sr_{0.3}MnO_3 \) compound is ferromagnetic with \( T_c = 370K \). Its lattice parameter matches perfectly the substrate (\( d_{100} = 3.9 Å \)). The external magnetic field was applied along the [1 0 0] direction. We performed measurements at room temperature varying the incident angle from 0.3° to 0.6° by steps of 0.05° at a wavelength of 7 Å to probe the diffraction signals from (1 0 0) planes of the sample.
Figure 15.4 shows the signals observed on the PSD for UP and DOWN neutron polarizations in linear scale at $\lambda = 0.7nm$ in the $\theta_i, \theta_f$ plane. At the starting incident angle $\theta_i = 0.3^\circ$ one can observe the diffraction due to the substrate, this signal is observed for all $\theta_i$ values. As the incident angle is increased, the diffraction signal from the film (noted Reflected) arises ($\theta_f = 0.41^\circ$) which is in good agreement with the expected value for the critical angle $\theta_c$. The diffraction signal from the film is maximum at the critical angle and as the incident angle increases, its intensity strongly decreases. For $\theta_i > \theta_c$ a third feature is observed. It corresponds to the transmitted signal (noted Transmitted). Its angular position is getting closer to the substrate signal as the incident angle increases.

Figure 15.5 shows a 2D plot of the measurement obtained for an incidence angle of $0.4^\circ$. Four peaks can be observed and are marked as bulk ($\theta_f = -0.13^\circ$), transmitted ($\theta_f = 0.12^\circ$), and two reflected peaks ($\theta_f = 0.39^\circ$ and $\theta_f = 0.41^\circ$). The four observed peak positions are well explained in the formalism of the evanescent neutron scattering. A significant polarized surface signal is observed with an intensity ratio (or magnetic contrast) $I_{DO}/I_{UP} = 1.7$. The calculated polarized signals are plotted as an insert in Figure 15.5 as calculated within the DWBA approximation [208]. The amplitude of the magnetic contrast is well reproduced.

This is the first report on polarized GID measurements on a very thin magnetic film (20nm) demonstrating the feasibility of such measurements. More technical details can be found in [130, 131].

![Polarisation DOWN](image1)

![Polarisation UP](image2)

Figure 15.4: *Intensity observed in a linear scale on the PSD as a function of the incident angle $\theta_i$ and the output angle $\theta_f$.*
Figure 15.5.: Polarized GID signal on the PSD for an incidence angle of 0.4°. Three diffraction peaks can be observed: the diffraction from the bulk, and the diffraction from the film in the transmission and reflection positions. DWBA calculation of the magnetic contrast (insert).

set-up also provide the key advantage of a 4-circles geometry, i.e. that it is possible to probe any diffraction line of the thin film in the reciprocal space, which in practice is essential.
Annexe VI: Inelastic scattering at very low energies

Magnetic dipolar coupling leads to low-energy excitations in magnetic materials named as magnetostatic waves (MSW) (Fig. 15.6a). The typical energy of these excitations is of the order of a few tens of meV. Among the most commonly used experimental probes, one can distinguish small wave-vector techniques (ferromagnetic resonance, Brillouin light scattering) which are very accurate, allowing to measure ultra-thin films, and inelastic neutron diffraction working at high wave-vector transfer but which is usually restricted to bulk samples.

Figure 15.6.: (a) Dispersion curve of the spin waves for a 30nm permalloy film with a 10mT in-plane bias field. The dispersion is anisotropic, depending on the angle between $k$ and $H$. (b) By exchange of a magnon of energy, the reflected beam is spin-flip and its reflection direction is changed.

We here explore the interaction of a neutron at grazing incidence with spin waves in a permalloy film. Working at grazing incidence in a reflectivity geometry makes it possible to study these very low-energy excitations. During the reflection of a wave on a surface, the in-plane component of the wave vector is conserved. If during the reflection, the neutron annihilates or creates a magnon of energy $\hbar \omega$ and wave vector $k$, the energy conservation and the continuity of the in-plane wave-vector component give:

$$\frac{\hbar^2 k_i^2}{2m} \pm \hbar \omega = \frac{\hbar^2 k_r^2}{2m} \text{ with } k_{i||} \pm k = k_{f||}$$

where $k_i$ and $k_r$ are the incident and reflected scattering wave vectors. The neutron experiences a change of energy of $\hbar \omega$ which modifies its wave vector as well as a spin-flip (exchange of a spin $\pm 1$) (see Fig. 15.6b). The relation between the incidence angle and the reflection angle induced by this interaction is $\theta_f^2 = \theta_i^2 \pm \omega / \omega_i \mp 2\gamma / k_i$, where $\theta_i$ is the
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incident neutron energy and $k_i$ its wave vector. The grazing incidence geometry is such that very small energy changes result in large momentum transfers. Changes in the exit angles can be of the order of several degrees.

We designed a neutron set-up which allows to study these excitations. The studied samples were permalloy thin films. The MSW were either thermally excited or induced by a microwave field. The off-specular neutron reflectivity was measured using a Position sensitive detector (see Fig. 15.7).

Unfortunately, we have not been able to measure any inelastic scattered signal, even with a large microwave excitation (1W input) which enhances the spin-wave population by a factor of about 30. Numerical estimates suggest that the expected signal was in the range $10^{-5}$ with respect to the specular reflectivity. This was at the limit of our measurement setup.

A possibility to increase the signal is to work at lower excitation energies ($\sim 30MHz$) in order to obtain smaller exit angles ($\sim 0.45^\circ$) which is feasible using perpendicular resonance $\omega = \gamma \mu_0 (H - M)$ on a high-quality film such as YIG films which have narrow resonance peaks and weak magnetic dispersion.

More details on this study can be found in [146].

![Figure 15.7: Description of the set-up. The sample is mounted in a single coil loop.](image-url)
Annexe VII: Scattering on ordered nanostructures

A trend in the fabrication of nanostructures is the use of templates to grow ordered nano-objects. One of the most commonly used templates are alumina membranes. The process consists in the deposition of an aluminum film which is then electrochemically oxidized to form alumina. During the process, the alumina layer self-organized into holes perpendicular to the surface (Fig. .. It is then possible to deposit materials into these holes (usually by electro-deposition) to obtain arrays of nano-objects with sizes in the range $5-200\text{nm}$.

Figure 15.8b shows a SEM picture of an array of holes in an alumina membrane produced by K. Lagrené. We have written small routines to extract quantitative information from these picture. By identifying the centers of the different holes, it is possible to calculate the structure factor of the distribution of holes (See Fig. 15.8c). It is isotropic and the 1D integration of the structure factor is presented on Fig. 15.8e. It is possible to model the distribution by a Percus-Yevick distribution with $\sigma_{cc} = 91\text{nm}$ and density $\rho = 0.45$. The size distribution is also presented on Fig. 15.8d. The average hole radius is $18\text{nm}$ with a size distribution of $8.5\text{nm}$.

These systems are rather complex for scattering studies because they are both dense systems with a marked structure factor as well as very anisotropic since there is a perfect orientation of the hole in one specific direction. The scattering on such structures is thus non trivial to process.

Let $S(Q)$ be the structure factor of the system. Let $P(Q)$ be the form factor of a hole. In the case of a perfectly homogeneous system, the scattered intensity would be $I(Q) = |P(Q)|^2 S(Q)$. In real cases, the objects are never perfectly identical so that there is some dispersion in the form factor $P(Q)$.

It is possible to use 2 different approximations. The first is to consider that the form of the wire is totally decoupled from their position so that $I(Q) = |\langle P(Q) \rangle|^2 S(Q)$. It is the decoupled approximation (DA). It is also possible to consider that the position of the holes is correlated with their size. In this case it is possible to express the scattered intensity as $I(Q) = \langle |P(Q)|^2 \rangle S(Q)$. This approximation is called the monodisperse approximation (LMA). In practice it proves that the LMA approximation works better for alumina membranes.
A more critical issue is the calculation of the scattering on these very anisotropic and very ordered systems. I present here typical geometries of the scattering of ordered planar structures. If one considers an assembly of disks (Fig. 15.9a), the scattering

If the finite thickness of the system is not taken into account, a classical scattering function is observed (Fig. 15.9b). However, in the case of a thin plane of disk (Fig. 15.9b) perpendicular to the incident neutron beam, since the system has a finite thickness, the structure factor is modulated by a \( \text{sinc} \) function corresponding to the finite thickness of the membrane. Depending on the characteristic size of the system, the Ewald sphere
(small arc Fig. 15.9d) may see significant intensity fluctuations due to the sinc modulation (along the horizontal direction).

This is illustrated by the case of 3 membranes with thicknesses ranging from 5 to 50 nm. In the case of the thin membrane, the sinc modulation is very broad so that along the Ewald sphere the intensity is not too much modulated (Fig. ??a). For thicker systems (50 and 500 nm), the sinc modulation becomes very narrow and the interaction with the Ewald sphere almost disappear for the thicker membrane. Thus the scattered intensity is strongly affected. These effect have to taken into account in order to process quantitatively the SANS data measured on ordered arrays of nano-objects.

Such effects are illustrated by the measurement on a membrane of very long wires of the order of 1 µm (Fig. 15.10). In this case, the sample is tilted by an angle of 1.7° and one can observe both a vertical - horizontal asymmetry is observed (Fig. 15.10a) together with a loss of the symmetry 2 (there is more intensity on the right than on the left). In classical SANS measurements, +Q and −Q asymmetries are not expected. This can be accounted for by the Ewald sphere intersection (Fig. 15.10b). The calculation reproduces most of the features of the measured signal. The calculation uses the parameters determined from the SEM images.

Besides, by performing radial cuts (Fig. 15.10c), one can observe that the oscillations are better resolved than the calculations. The second oscillations of the form factor is more clearly defined on the experimental data. This means that the SEM picture of the membrane surface only gave partial and biased information about the inner structure of the membrane. The SEM only sees the surface whereas the SANS measurement probes the inner structure of the membrane and suggest that the structure is actually better defined inside the membrane compared to what can be observed on the surface.
Figure 15.9: (a) Assembly of disk. (b) Scattering function from this assembly of disks. (c) Incidence geometry with the beam perpendicular to the plane of the membrane, that is parallel to the nanowires length. (d) 2D scattering function in the above geometry. Along the $Q_y$ direction one has the scattering function of disks but it is modulated along the $Q_z$ direction (incident neutron direction) by a sinc function. (e) 2D scattering function for various thickness of the membrane ($H = 5$ nm $H = 50$ nm $H = 500$ nm).
Figure 15.10: SANS: very long nanowires 1600nm (c) Projections along main axis
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